

**Meeting:** International Public Sector Accounting  
Standards Board

**Meeting Location:** Toronto, Canada

**Meeting Date:** September 22–25, 2015

**From:** John Stanford, Ross Smith, João Fonseca and Paul Mason

## Agenda Item 5

For:

☐ Approval

☒ Discussion

☐ Information

### Improvements to IPSASs 2015

#### Objective of Agenda Item

1. The objective of this session is to **review** an Issues Paper and **approve** Exposure Draft (ED) 58, *Improvements to IPSASs 2015*.

#### Material(s) Presented

Agenda Item 5.1a	Issues Paper, Part I of <i>Improvements to IPSASs 2015</i> : Consequential Amendments Arising from Chapters 1-4 of the Conceptual Framework
Agenda Item 5.1b	Issues Paper, Part II of <i>Improvements to IPSASs 2015</i> : General Improvements to IPSASs
Agenda Item 5.1c	Issues Paper, Part III of <i>Improvements to IPSASs 2015</i> : IPSAS/GFS Alignment
Agenda Item 5.1d	Issues Paper, Part IV of <i>Improvements to IPSASs 2015</i> : IASB Improvements
Agenda Item 5.2	Exposure Draft 58, <i>Improvements to IPSASs 2015</i>

#### Action(s) Requested

2. The IPSASB is asked to **consider** the Matters for Comment presented in Agenda Items 5.1a–5.1d, and to **approve** ED 58, *Improvements to IPSASs 2015*.

**Issues Paper – Part I of *Improvements to IPSASs 2015: Consequential Amendments Arising from Chapters 1-4 of the Conceptual Framework*****Introduction**

1. At its June 2015 meeting the IPSASB discussed the approach to proposing consequential amendments arising from Chapters 1-4 of the *Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting in the Public Sector*.
2. Chapters 1-4 address:
  - Role and authority;
  - Objectives and users;
  - Qualitative characteristics (QCs) and constraints on information include in general purpose financial reports (constraints); and
  - Reporting entity.

**Directions at June 2015 Meeting**

3. The principal directions at the June meeting were:
  - To delete Appendix A, *Qualitative Characteristics of Financial Reporting*, from IPSAS 1, *Presentation of Financial Statements*, and references to Appendix A elsewhere in the literature, and not to replace Appendix A with a summary of the new QCs and constraints;
  - Not to propose changes to recognition criteria in IPSASs in advance of a more general review of the recognition criteria, but to retain the words “reliably” and “reliable” in recognition criteria as an interim measure with an explanation of the meaning in a footnote in all IPSASs with recognition criteria and in the Basis for Conclusions of IPSAS 1;
  - The reference in paragraph 12 of IPSAS 3, *Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors*, should be to “relevance, faithful representation, the other QCs and constraints on information included in general purpose financial reports;
  - There should not be a direct reference to “the economic substance of transactions”, “neutrality”, “prudence” and “completeness in all material respects” in a revised paragraph 12 of IPSAS 3. There should be a discussion of these terms in the Basis for Conclusions of IPSAS 3.
  - The reference to “management” in paragraphs 12, 14 and 15 of IPSAS 3 should be changed to “preparers”;
  - In paragraph 14(b) of IPSAS 3 the second level source in the hierarchy where there is no IPSAS dealing with a transaction, other event or condition should be the IPSASB’s Conceptual Framework;
  - In the examples of third level hierarchy sources in paragraph 15 of IPSAS 3 the reference to the International Public Sector Accounting Standards Board’s (IASB) Conceptual Framework would be deleted, but references to other IASB pronouncements such as “IFRS” and

interpretations of the International Financial Reporting Interpretations Committee and former Standards Interpretations Committee should be retained; and

- Not to replace the term “economic entity” with “reporting entity” or “group reporting entity” in the literature.

#### **Proposed Amendments Related to Qualitative Characteristics and Accounting Policies**

4. In Agenda Item 5.2 amendments related to the revised qualitative characteristics, constraints and selection of accounting policies have been proposed to:
  - IPSAS 1, *Presentation of Financial Statements*
  - IPSAS 3, *Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors*
  - IPSAS 16, *Investment Property*,
  - IPSAS 18, *Segment Reporting*,
  - IPSAS 20, *Related Party Disclosures*.
  - IPSAS 22, *Disclosure of Financial Information about the General Government Sector*
  - IPSAS 24, *Presentation of Budget Information in Financial Statements*
  - IPSAS 29, *Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement*
  - IPSAS 30, *Financial Instruments: Presentation*
5. The changes to IPSAS 16, IPSAS 18, IPSAS 20, IPSAS 22, IPSAS 24, IPSAS 29 and IPSAS 30 have been made because of direct or indirect references to the Accounting Policies section of IPSAS 3. A replication of Appendix A from IPSAS 1 has been removed from IPSAS 18. Explanatory material has been added to the Bases for Conclusions of IPSAS 1 and IPSAS 3 to explain the IPSASB's deliberations and rationale for the approach.

#### **Matter(s) for Consideration**

1. The IPSASB is asked to **confirm** the changes to the above IPSASs related to the qualitative characteristics, constraints and selection of accounting policies or **provide** alternative directions.

#### **Recognition**

6. In accordance with the direction at the June meeting there are no proposed amendments related to the use of “reliable” or “reliably” in recognition criteria. A footnote has been inserted to explain the meaning of “reliably” or “reliable” in the following IPSASs with recognition criteria:
  - IPSAS 5, *Borrowing Costs*
  - IPSAS 9, *Revenue From Exchange Transactions*
  - IPSAS 11, *Construction Contracts*
  - IPSAS 16, *Investment Property*
  - IPSAS 17, *Property, Plant and Equipment*
  - IPSAS 19, *Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets*
  - IPSAS 23, *Revenue From Non-exchange Transactions (Taxes and Transfers)*

- IPSAS 25, *Employee Benefits*
  - IPSAS 27, *Agriculture*
  - IPSAS 31, *Intangible Assets*
  - IPSAS 32, *Service Concession Arrangements (Application Guidance)*
7. The footnote states that “information that is reliable is free from material error and bias, and can be depended on by users to represent faithfully that which it purports to represent or could reasonably be expected to represent.” The organization of the proposed amendments can be seen in the draft section of the ED in Agenda Item 5.
8. In the context of recognition and measurement there are also a number of other IPSASs which do not contain explicit recognition criteria, but use the words “reliably” and “reliable” in more general guidance on recognition, estimation, allocation and other issues related to measurement. Staff took the view that it would be inconsistent to insert a footnote in IPSASs with explicit recognition criteria, but not in IPSASs that also use “reliable” and “reliably” in the broader context of recognition and measurement. Staff therefore propose inserting the footnote in the following IPSASs:
- IPSAS 7, *Investments in Associates*
  - IPSAS 13, *Leases*
  - IPSAS 18, *Segment Reporting*
  - IPSAS 21, *Impairment of Non-Cash-Generating Assets*
  - IPSAS 26, *Impairment of Cash-Generating Assets*
  - IPSAS 33, *First-Time Adoption of Accrual Basis International Public Sector Accounting Standards*
  - IPSAS 34, *Separate Financial Statements*
  - IPSAS 36, *Investments in Associates and Joint Ventures*
9. Paragraph BC15 has been added to the Basis for Conclusions to IPSAS 1 to explain why recognition criteria are not being replaced at this time.

**Matter(s) for Consideration**

2. The IPSASB is asked to **confirm**:
- The insertion of a footnote to explain the meaning of “reliability” in the above IPSASs; and
  - The wording of that footnotes in paragraph 7 of this Issues Paper;
- or **provide** alternative directions

**Replacement of Management with Preparers in IPSAS 3**

10. As indicated above one of the directions at the June meeting was replacement of the reference to “management” with a reference to “preparers” in paragraphs 14 and 15 of IPSAS 3. The rationale for this is that the reference to “management” is inappropriate in a public sector context and that “preparers” is a more general and better word. Following a review of the IPSASB literature Staff has reservations about this amendment. The word “management” is used widely in the IPSASB literature. In many instances this is in the context of the operational control of an entity’s activities. However, the word “management” occurs in a number of IPSASs in the context of the determination of

accounting policies, estimation techniques and allocation approaches for the measurement of assets and liabilities; for example IPSAS 2.59, IPSAS 4.14, IPSAS 17.90, IPSAS 26.46 (and elsewhere in IPSAS 26) and IPSAS 31.29. If “management” is replaced by “preparers” in IPSAS 3 then it should probably be replaced elsewhere in the current suite of standards. Staff have reservations about such an extensive change and seek the direction of members.

11. Staff has amended the reference to “management” in paragraphs 12, 14 and 15 and Implementation Guidance in IPSAS 3. Staff has not made any further changes in advance of a direction from members.

**Matter(s) for Consideration**

3. The IPSASB is asked to **confirm** the replacement of the references to “management” by references to “preparers” in IPSAS 3 and to **provide** directions on whether further amendments should be proposed in the *Improvements to IPSASs 2015 ED*.

### Part II of *Improvements to IPSASs 2015: General Improvements to IPSASs*

#### Objectives of agenda item

1. To review and approve proposed changes to certain IPSASs following the review and evaluation of proposals received from stakeholders, including preparers and IPSASB members and staff.

#### Background

2. The IPSASB has decided that the issues addressed in its Improvements projects should not be limited to maintaining convergence with IFRS, but should also consider improvements to other requirements that have been identified by stakeholders.

#### Possible amendments identified by stakeholders

3. Table 1 below sets out the possible amendments to IPSASs identified by stakeholders. The table sets out the rationale for including amendments in, or excluding amendments from, the ED. Where the recommendation is in bold text, the suggested wording is included in the draft ED.

Table 1: List of possible amendments identified by stakeholders

IPSAS	Possible Amendment	Recommendation
IPSAS 1, <i>Presentation of Financial Statements</i>	<p>IPSAS 1 requires a total for revenue to be presented, which includes gains. IAS 1, <i>Presentation of Financial Statements</i> also requires a total for revenue. However, that would exclude gains as the IFRS definition of revenue is narrower compared to the IPSAS definition.</p> <p>Entities sometimes present a group of items called “gains and losses” below revenue and expenses. Stakeholders are seeking clarification on whether:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Gains and losses can be offset on the face of the statement of financial performance (with gross amounts in the notes); and</li> <li>• Gains can be classified outside revenue.</li> </ul>	<p>Staff notes that the requirements of IPSAS 1 and IAS 1 are significantly different. Revisions to IPSAS 1 to more closely align those requirements are likely to require more significant amendments than would be usual in an improvements project.</p> <p>Staff also notes that the IPSASB's recently completed Conceptual Framework includes a chapter on presentation. Staff considers that any amendments to IPSAS 1 should be assessed for consistency with the Conceptual Framework. Including ad hoc amendments in an improvements project is unlikely to achieve this consistency.</p> <p>Consequently, staff recommends that these amendments are not included in the ED.</p>

IPSAS	Possible Amendment	Recommendation
<p>IPSAS 14, <i>Events after the Reporting Date</i>; IPSAS 19, <i>Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets</i>; IPSAS 26, <i>Impairment of Cash-Generating Assets</i>; IPSAS 27, <i>Agriculture</i>; and IPSAS 31, <i>Intangible Assets</i></p>	<p>At its March 2015 meeting, the IPSASB noted that that IPSASs currently deal with non-current assets held for sale inconsistently, and directed staff to note this for a future improvements project. The IPSASB commented that it was not minded to adopt the requirements of IFRS 5, <i>Non-current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations</i>, and that references to the international or national accounting standard on this topic could be removed.</p>	<p><b>These proposed amendments are recommended for IPSAS 14, <i>Events after the Reporting Date</i>; IPSAS 19, <i>Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets</i>; IPSAS 26, <i>Impairment of Cash-Generating Assets</i>; IPSAS 27, <i>Agriculture</i>; and IPSAS 31, <i>Intangible Assets</i>.</b></p> <p><b>Proposed changes are included in Part II of ED 58, <i>Improvements to IPSASs 2015</i>, as proposed amendments Part II-1a to Part II-1e.</b></p> <p><b>Staff notes that there is a narrow-scope project to amend IPSAS 21 <i>Impairment of Non-Cash-Generating Assets</i> and IPSAS 26. Although it would be possible to include the proposed amendment to IPSAS 26 in that project to allow stakeholders to comment on all the proposed amendments to IPSAS 26 at the same time, staff considers the amendment is more appropriate for this ED.</b></p>
<p>IPSAS 17, <i>Property, Plant and Equipment</i></p>	<p>IPSAS 17 requires revaluation increases and decreases relating to individual assets within a class of property, plant, and equipment to be offset against one another within that class. Under IAS 16, <i>Property, Plant and Equipment</i>, revaluation increases and decreases relating to individual assets are not offset against revaluation increases and decreases relating to other assets.</p> <p>IPSAS 17 permits amounts recognized in revaluation surplus to be transferred to accumulated surpluses or deficits when assets are derecognized, or when their consumption is recognized through depreciation charges.</p> <p>Such adjustments require record keeping at an individual asset level.</p>	<p>Staff considers that there is merit to the proposal that financial reporting would be improved if revaluation increases and decreases relating to individual assets were not offset against revaluation increases and decreases relating to other assets.</p> <p>However, staff considers that this would not be a minor change. Entities using the revaluation model may not be recording revaluation increases by asset, and would be required to identify this information. The amendment would also require entities to restate their revaluation surplus and accumulated surpluses or deficits to unwind previous offsetting.</p> <p>Staff also notes that the offsetting requirements may have been introduced because of concerns that entities would</p>

IPSAS	Possible Amendment	Recommendation
	<p>If such adjustments are not made, revaluation surplus may include amounts relating to assets that have been derecognized.</p> <p>Stakeholders have suggested that financial reporting would be improved if revaluation increases and decreases relating to individual assets were not offset against revaluation increases and decreases relating to other assets.</p>	<p>have insufficient information to identify revaluation gains and losses by asset for some classes of property plant and equipment, for example infrastructure assets.</p> <p>For these reasons, staff recommends that these amendments are not included in the ED. If the IPSASB wishes to address this issue, it could do so in a separate project or as part of a wider project, for example public sector measurement.</p>
IPSAS 20, <i>Related Party Disclosures</i>	<p>Stakeholders indicated that IPSAS 20 could be clearer about what is considered remuneration for the purposes of key management personnel remuneration disclosures. IAS 24, <i>Related Party Disclosures</i> cross-references to IAS 19, <i>Employee Benefits</i> and provides more detail on what could be remuneration. IPSAS 20 could be updated to provide more guidance on what is considered remuneration of key management personnel.</p>	<p>IPSAS 20 was issued in 2002 and drawn primarily from the version of IAS 24 that was extant at that time (issued 1984, reformatted 1994). IPSAS 20 also included some significant changes from IAS 24, which were debated extensively by the IPSASB.</p> <p>Since then, the IASB has issued two revised versions of IAS 24 (2003 and 2009). Consequently, the requirements in IPSAS 20 and IAS 24 have further diverged over time.</p> <p>IAS 24 now refers to key management personnel compensation, and provides a cross-reference to IAS 19. IAS 24 also includes specific disclosure requirements relating to amounts incurred by the entity for the provision of key management personnel services that are provided by a separate management entity.</p> <p>IPSAS 20 does not refer to compensation but to the remuneration of key management personnel; this term is not used as a defined term in IPSAS 25, <i>Employee Benefits</i>. There are no disclosure requirements relating to the provision of key management personnel services that are provided by a separate management entity (the IPSASB decided not to include this amendment</p>



IPSAS	Possible Amendment	Recommendation
		<p>to IAS 24 when it approved its previous Improvements ED in June 2014).</p> <p>Consequently, staff considers that the proposed amendments would not be minor changes and therefore recommends that this amendment is not included in the ED.</p> <p>Staff considers that if the IPSASB wishes to revise the requirements of IPSAS 20, a wider scope project would be the preferred approach. This would allow the IPSASB to consider whether the differences from IAS 24 it previously agreed are still appropriate.</p>
IPSAS 20, <i>Related Party Disclosures</i>	<p>Stakeholders have indicated that it would be helpful if IPSAS 20 included application guidance on how to determine the full-time equivalent for governing body members included as key management personnel. This has been particularly challenging in one jurisdiction for elected local government members, where the governance role is not full-time but members may have additional electoral constituency obligations.</p>	<p>Staff considers that this is primarily an implementation issue that reflects specific jurisdictional circumstances. Providing guidance that addressed an appropriate range of such circumstances is likely to require more significant amendments than would be usual in an improvements project.</p> <p>Consequently, staff recommends that this amendment is not included in the ED.</p>
IPSAS 21, <i>Impairment of Non-Cash-Generating Assets</i>	<p>Stakeholders have indicated that greater application guidance on impairment of non-cash-generating software would be useful.</p>	<p>IPSAS 21 includes both Implementation Guidance and an Illustrative Example addressing the impairment of non-cash-generating software. Staff considers that any additional guidance is likely to require more significant amendments than would be usual in an improvements project.</p> <p>Consequently, staff recommends that this amendment is not included in the ED.</p>
IPSAS 23, <i>Revenue from Non-Exchange</i>	<p>Distinguishing between exchange and non-exchange revenue has been a significant challenge for</p>	<p>Staff notes that the IPSASB has previously noted that IPSAS 23 might need to be reviewed following completion of the Conceptual</p>

IPSAS	Possible Amendment	Recommendation
<p><i>Transactions (Taxes and Transfers)</i></p>	<p>both preparers and auditors in applying IPSAS 23.</p> <p>Stakeholders have indicated that the guidance in IPSAS 23 needs improving to clarify when a transaction is exchange or non-exchange.</p> <p>There are also challenges in determining whether a condition gives rises to deferred revenue or revenue, due to what some stakeholders consider to be conflicting guidance in IPSAS 23.</p>	<p>Framework. In particular, the IPSASB has commented that the guidance in IPSAS 23 on when to recognize revenue and when to recognize liabilities should be reviewed for consistency with the Conceptual Framework.</p> <p>This review is being undertaken in the Revenue project, and the inclusion of amended guidance in this ED could prejudice that review.</p> <p>Staff also considers that the guidance requested by stakeholders is likely to require more significant amendments than would be usual in an improvements project.</p> <p>Consequently, staff recommends that these amendments are not included in the ED.</p>
<p>IPSAS 31, <i>Intangible Assets</i></p>	<p>Stakeholders have indicated that it would be useful to have greater application guidance on the capitalization of software development costs (including upgrades) as such costs can be significant in the public sector.</p>	<p>Staff notes that there are no major differences between IPSAS 31 and IAS 38, <i>Intangible Assets</i> in terms of software development costs. Staff considers that IPSAS 31 contains sufficient application guidance on the capitalization of software development costs. Consequently, staff recommends that this amendment is not included in the ED.</p>
<p>IPSAS 32, <i>Service Concession Arrangements</i></p>	<p>Stakeholders have identified a conflict between IPSAS 32 and IPSAS 17. IPSAS 32 requires (dissimilar) concession assets to be accounted for as a separate class of assets in accordance with IPSAS 17, whereas IPSAS 17 only permits similar assets to be accounted for as a class of asset.</p>	<p><b>Proposed changes are included in Part II of ED 58, <i>Improvements to IPSASs 2015</i>, as proposed amendment Part II-2.</b></p> <p><b>The transitional arrangements could be significant for some preparers, and therefore the proposed amendments could be considered more than an improvements. If the IPSASB agrees with this view, staff recommends the proposed amendments are addressed in a separate narrow scope project.</b></p>

IPSAS	Possible Amendment	Recommendation
IPSAS 32, <i>Service Concession Arrangements</i>	Stakeholders have indicated that the accounting for the interest cost component of the purchase price of an infrastructure asset that takes a period of time to build and funded by the operator is unclear. It is unclear whether a finance expense should be recognized during the construction period by the grantor where it has a policy of expensing borrowing costs.	Staff considers that this issue is primarily an implementation issue rather than an issue that needs to be addressed at standards level. The level of guidance being sought by stakeholders could be more detailed than is usually provided in an IPSAS. Staff also notes the link with IPSAS 5, <i>Borrowing Costs</i> . When the IASB revised IAS 23, <i>Borrowing Costs</i> the IPSASB deferred any decision as to whether to adopt the adaptations until after the completion of the Conceptual Framework. Staff considers that it would be appropriate to address all issues regarding borrowing costs at the same time. Consequently, staff recommends that this amendment is not included in the ED.
IPSAS 32, <i>Service Concession Arrangements</i>	Stakeholders have indicated that the accounting for costs the operator incurs in getting ready to operate a service concession asset (often incurred while the asset is still under construction) is unclear. For example, an operator may incur significant costs in developing a trained workforce during the construction period of the service concession asset. It is unclear whether such costs should be expensed as incurred (if known) or spread over the service concession period (which usually commences after construction).	Staff considers that this issue is primarily an implementation issue rather than an issue that needs to be addressed at standards level. The level of guidance being sought by stakeholders could be more detailed than is usually provided in an IPSAS. Consequently, staff recommends that this amendment is not included in the ED.

4. Staff targets an ED publication date before the end of October 2015. Consistent with the IPSASB's previous decision on prior improvement standards that a two month exposure period is adequate, the comment period should end on December 31, 2015. This will allow the final changes to be approved at the March 2016 meeting.

**Matter(s) for Consideration**

1. The IPSASB is asked to **approve** the publication of Part II of ED 58, *Improvements to IPSASs 2015*.

### Part III of *Improvements to IPSASs 2015: IPSAS/GFS Alignment*

#### Objectives of agenda item

1. To review and approve proposed changes to certain IPSASs following the review and evaluation of proposals received from an IPSASB member.

#### Background

2. The IPSASB has decided that the issues addressed in its Improvements projects should not be limited to maintaining convergence with IFRS, but should also consider improvements to other requirements that have been identified by stakeholders. In this context, the IPSASB also considers Government Finance Statistics (GFS) reporting guidelines in the development of IPSASs which is set out in the IPSASB's policy paper about the *Process for Considering GFS Reporting Guidelines during Development of IPSAS*<sup>1</sup>.
3. It has been identified as a possible amendment to IPSAS the terms "ammunition" in IPSAS 12, *Inventories* and "specialist military equipment" in IPSAS 17, *Property, Plant and Equipment*. IPSAS terminology can align with terminology from GFS reporting guidelines.

#### Possible amendments identified by an IPSASB member

4. Table 1 below sets out the possible amendments to IPSASs identified by an IPSASB member. The table sets out the rationale for including amendments in, or excluding amendments from, the ED.

Table 1: List of possible amendments identified by an IPSASB member

IPSAS	Possible Amendment	Recommendation
IPSAS 12, <i>Inventories</i>	IPSAS 12 uses the term "ammunition" and does not include a description.  GFS reporting guidelines use the term "military inventories" and provides a description of what it comprises.	Staff considers that there is merit to the proposal that military assets can have the same term used in GFS reporting guidelines, including the description.  Staff is of the view that term "military inventories" provides a broader applicability because it is applied to all single-use items, including ammunition (for example: missiles, rockets and bombs).
IPSAS 17, <i>Property, Plant and Equipment</i>	IPSAS 17 uses the term "specialist military equipment", but it does not provide a description of what it comprises.	Staff considers that there is merit to the proposal that military assets can have the same term used in GFS reporting guidelines, including the description.

<sup>1</sup> <http://www.ifac.org/system/files/publications/files/IPSASB-GFS-Policy-Paper.pdf>

IPSAS	Possible Amendment	Recommendation
	GFS reporting guidelines use the term “weapons systems” and provides a description of what it comprises.	Staff is of the view that this proposal will not only clarify the applicability of IPSAS 17 but will also increase consistency between IPSASs and GFS reporting guidelines.

5. Staff targets an ED publication date before the end of October 2015. Consistent with the IPSASB’s previous decision on prior improvement standards that a two month exposure period is adequate, the comment period should end on December 31, 2015. This will allow the final changes to be approved at the March 2016 meeting.

**Matter(s) for Consideration**

1. The IPSASB is asked to **approve** the publication of Part III of ED 58, *Improvements to IPSASs 2015*.

# Agenda Item

## 5.1d

### Part IV of *Improvements to IPSASs 2015*: IASB Improvements

#### Objectives of Agenda Item

1. To review and approve proposed changes to certain IPSASs following the consideration and evaluation of:
  - *Annual Improvements to IFRSs 2012-2014 Cycle* (issued in September 2014 by the IASB); and
  - *Agriculture: Bearer Plants* (Amendments to IAS 16 and IAS 41 issued in June 2014 by the IASB).

#### The Annual Improvements to IFRSs 2012-2014 Cycle issued by the IASB in September 2014

2. Table 1 below sets out amendments from the IASB document *Annual Improvements to IFRSs 2012-2014 Cycle* issued in September 2014. The items considered for inclusion in ED 58, are those items related to IASB annual improvements projects published since the *Improvements to IPSASs 2014* project, that have not yet been considered by the IPSASB. The table sets out the rationale for excluding any amendments and notes those recommended for inclusion.
3. The IASB made amendments to four standards. However, for the reasons outlined in Table 1 below, it is not appropriate to propose changes to IPSASs for all of the amendments based on the IASB's *Annual Improvements to IFRSs 2012-2014 Cycle*. The reason why each amendment is not recommended is included in the table.

Table 1: List of Amendments from the Annual Improvements to IFRSs 2012-2014 Cycle issued September 2014

IFRS	Subject of Amendment	Relationship with IPSASs/ED
IFRS 5 <i>Non-current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations</i>	Amendments for changes in methods of disposal of assets held for sale and discontinued operations.	No equivalent IPSAS. The IFRS improvements are not currently relevant to IPSAS.

IFRS 7 <i>Financial Instruments: Disclosures</i>	Amendments for disclosures related to servicing contracts and other minor amendments related to condensed interim financial statements.	The relevant portion of this amendment will be considered in the Financial Instruments amendments project which will be initiated in December 2015.
IAS 19 <i>Employee Benefits</i>	Amendments to discount rate: regional market issue.	This amendment will be considered in the ongoing project to update IPSAS 25, <i>Employee Benefits</i> .
IAS 34 <i>Interim Financial Reporting</i>	Disclosure of information 'elsewhere in the interim financial report'.	No equivalent IPSAS. The IFRS improvements are not currently relevant to IPSAS.

#### IASB Narrow Scope Amendments to IFRS

- The IASB made amendments to various standards as a result of the approved narrow scope amendments noted in table 2. The IASBs narrow scope amendment projects cover minor changes, similar to those introduced through improvements projects. The improvements project deals with several amendments in one standard whereas narrow scope amendments are more limited and introduced by direct revisions to standards.
- Table 2 below outlines two narrow scope amendment standards considered for ED 58, *Improvements to IPSASs 2015*. The items considered for inclusion in ED 58, are those items related to IASB narrow scope amendments published since the Improvements to IPSASs 2014 project, that have not yet been considered by the IPSASB. For those amendments considered to be appropriate, please see ED 58, *Improvements to IPSASs 2015* for full proposed amendments.

Table 4: List of Amendments from approved Narrow Scope Amendments to IFRSs

Narrow Scope Amendments to IFRS	Subject of Amendment	Relationship with IPSASs/ED
<i>Agriculture: Bearer Plants</i> (Amendments to IAS 16 and IAS 41 issued in June 2014 by the IASB)	Changes to required disclosures for discount rates for recoverable amounts when testing for impairment of assets.	IPSAS 26 <i>Impairment of Cash-Generating Assets</i> is not consistent with the wording of IAS 36 and as a result the change is not considered relevant. <b>Proposed changes included in ED 58, <i>Improvements to IPSASs 2015</i>.</b>
<i>Disclosure Initiative</i> (Amendments to IAS 1)	Various amendments to IAS 1, related to the IASB's ongoing disclosure initiative project.	These amendments are the first amendments resulting from the ongoing IASB disclosure initiative project. Staff is of the view, that the proposed changes are more than consequential amendments



		and should be considered as part of a wider project to update to IPSAS 1, <i>Presentation to Financial Statements</i> .
--	--	---

**Matter(s) for Consideration**

1. The IPSASB is asked to **approve** the inclusion of the IASB related amendments included in part IV of ED 58, *Improvements to IPSASs 2015*.

## AGENDA ITEM 5.2

**Exposure Draft 58**  
**October 2015**  
*Comments due: December 31, 2015*

*Proposed International Public Sector Accounting  
Standard*

---

# Improvements to IPSASs 2015



International Public  
Sector Accounting  
Standards Board®

This document was developed and approved by the International Public Sector Accounting Standards Board® (IPSASB®).

The objective of the IPSASB is to serve the public interest by setting high-quality public sector accounting standards and by facilitating the adoption and implementation of these, thereby enhancing the quality and consistency of practice throughout the world and strengthening the transparency and accountability of public sector finances.

In meeting this objective the IPSASB sets International Public Sector Accounting Standards™ (IPSAS™) and Recommended Practice Guidelines (RPGs) for use by public sector entities, including national, regional, and local governments, and related governmental agencies.

IPSAS relate to the general purpose financial statements (financial statements) and are authoritative. RPGs are pronouncements that provide guidance on good practice in preparing general purpose financial reports (GPFRs) that are not financial statements. Unlike IPSAS RPGs do not establish requirements. Currently all pronouncements relating to GPFRs that are not financial statements are RPGs. RPGs do not provide guidance on the level of assurance (if any) to which information should be subjected.

The structures and processes that support the operations of the IPSASB are facilitated by the International Federation of Accountants® (IFAC®).

Copyright © [Month and Year] by the International Federation of Accountants® (IFAC®). For copyright, trademark, and permissions information, please see page 63.

## REQUEST FOR COMMENTS

This Exposure Draft, *Improvements to IPSASs 2015*, was developed and approved by the International Public Sector Accounting Standards Board® (IPSASB®).

The proposals in this Exposure Draft may be modified in light of comments received before being issued in final form. **Comments are requested by December 31, 2015.**

Respondents are asked to submit their comments electronically through the IPSASB website, using the "[Submit a Comment](#)" link. Please submit comments in both a PDF and Word file. Also, please note that first-time users must register to use this feature. All comments will be considered a matter of public record and will ultimately be posted on the website. This publication may be downloaded from the IPSASB website: [www.ipsasb.org](http://www.ipsasb.org). The approved text is published in the English language.

**CONTENTS**

---

Part I: Conceptual Framework Improvements to IPSASs .....	5
Part II: General Improvements to IPSASs .....	40
Part III: Government Finance Statistics Improvements to IPSASs .....	52
Part IV: IASB Improvements to IPSASs.....	56

---

## PART I: CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK IMPROVEMENTS TO IPSASS

### Objective

1. The objective of Part I of the Exposure Draft is to propose improvements to IPSASs to ensure consistency with the *Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting in the Public Sector* (the Conceptual Framework).

### Request for Comments

2. The IPSASB would welcome comments on all the changes proposed in the Exposure Draft. Comments are most helpful if they indicate the specific paragraph or group of paragraphs to which they relate, contain a clear rationale and, where applicable, provide a suggestion for alternative wording.

### IPSASs Addressed

3. The Improvements project deals with non-substantive changes to IPSAS through a collection of amendments which are unrelated. Amendments included in Part I arise from the publication of the Conceptual Framework.

### Improvements to IPSASs

<b><i>IPSAS Standard</i></b>	<b><i>Summary of Proposed Change</i></b>
IPSAS 1, <i>Presentation of Financial Statements</i> ; IPSAS 3, <i>Accounting Policies. Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors</i> ; IPSAS 16, <i>Investment Property</i> ; IPSAS 18, <i>Segment Reporting</i> ; IPSAS 20, <i>Related Party Disclosures</i> ; IPSAS 22, <i>Disclosures about the General Government Sector</i> ; IPSAS 24, <i>Presentation of Budget Information in Financial Statements</i> ; IPSAS 29, <i>Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement</i> and IPSAS 30, <i>Financial Instruments: Disclosure</i> .	Consequential amendments related to Chapters 1–4 of the <i>Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting in the Public Sector</i> . These relate to the Qualitative Characteristics, accounting policies, the term “management” and the hierarchy of sources used in the selection and application of accounting policies.

<b>IPSAS Standard</b>	<b>Summary of Proposed Change</b>
<p>IPSAS 5, <i>Borrowing Costs</i>; IPSAS 7, <i>Investments in Associates</i>; IPSAS 9, <i>Revenue From Exchange Transactions</i>; IPSAS 11, <i>Construction Contracts</i>; IPSAS 13, <i>Leases</i>; IPSAS 16, <i>Investment Property</i>; IPSAS 17, <i>Property, Plant and Equipment</i>; IPSAS 18, <i>Segment Reporting</i>; IPSAS 19, <i>Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets</i>; IPSAS 21, <i>Impairment of Non-Cash-Generating Assets</i>; IPSAS 23, <i>Revenue From Non-exchange Transactions (Taxes and Transfers)</i>; IPSAS 25, <i>Employee Benefits</i>; IPSAS 26, <i>Impairment of Cash-Generating Assets</i>; IPSAS 27, <i>Agriculture</i>; IPSAS 31, <i>Intangible Assets</i>; IPSAS 32, <i>Service Concession Arrangements</i>; IPSAS 33, <i>First-Time Adoption of Accrual Basis International Public Sector Accounting Standards</i>; IPSAS 34, <i>Separate Financial Statements</i> and IPSAS 36, <i>Investments in Associates and Joint Ventures</i>.</p>	<p>The <i>Conceptual Framework for General Purpose Financial Reporting by Public Sector Entities</i> was published in October 2014. Chapter 3 addresses the qualitative characteristics of information and constraints on information in general purpose financial reports. The Framework adopted “faithful representation” as a qualitative characteristic, rather than “reliability”. The IPSASB decided not to make piecemeal changes to recognition criteria and guidance on measurement before considering changes to IPSASs arising from Chapter 5, Elements and Chapter 6, Recognition of the Framework. Therefore an explanation of the term “reliability” will be included in a footnote on the first usage of “reliably” or “reliable” in IPSASs containing requirements on recognition or aspects of measurement uncertainty:</p>

## **Amendment: Part I-1a**

### **Amendments to IPSAS 1, *Presentation of Financial Statements***

Paragraphs 29, 44, 70, 73, 74, 109 and 116 are amended and paragraph 153E is added. Appendix A is deleted. New text is underlined and deleted text is struck through.

## **Overall Considerations**

### **Fair Presentation and Compliance with IPSASs**

...

29. In virtually all circumstances, a fair presentation is achieved by compliance with applicable IPSASs. A fair presentation also requires an entity:
- (a) To select and apply accounting policies in accordance with IPSAS 3, *Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors*. IPSAS 3 sets out a hierarchy of authoritative guidance that ~~management~~ preparers considers, in the absence of a Standard that specifically applies to an item.
  - (b) To present information, including accounting policies, in a manner that provides faithfully representative ~~relevant~~, reliable, comparable, and understandable information.
  - (c) To provide additional disclosures when compliance with the specific requirements in IPSASs is insufficient to enable users to understand the impact of particular transactions, other events, and conditions on the entity's financial position and financial performance.

...

### **Consistency of Presentation**

...

44. An entity changes the presentation of its financial statements only if the changed presentation provides information that is ~~reliable~~ faithfully representative and is more relevant to users of the financial statements, and the revised structure is likely to continue, so that comparability is not impaired. When making such changes in presentation, an entity reclassifies its comparative information in accordance with paragraphs 55 and 56.

## **Structure and Content**

...

### **Statement of Financial Position**

#### *Current/Non-current Distinction*

...

70. **An entity shall present current and non-current assets, and current and non-current liabilities, as separate classifications on the face of its statement of financial position in accordance with paragraphs 76–87, except when a presentation based on liquidity provides information that is ~~reliable~~ faithfully representative and is more relevant. When that exception applies, all assets and liabilities shall be presented broadly in order of liquidity.**



73. For some entities, such as financial institutions, a presentation of assets and liabilities in increasing or decreasing order of liquidity provides information that is ~~reliable~~ faithfully representative and is more relevant than a current/non-current presentation, because the entity does not supply goods or services within a clearly identifiable operating cycle.
74. In applying paragraph 70, an entity is permitted to present some of its assets and liabilities using a current/non-current classification, and others in order of liquidity, when this provides information that is ~~reliable~~ faithfully representative and is more relevant. The need for a mixed basis of presentation might arise when an entity has diverse operations.

## Statement of Financial Performance

*Information to be Presented either on the Face of the Statement of Financial Performance or in the Notes*

...

109. **An entity shall present, either on the face of the statement of financial performance or in the notes, an analysis of expenses using a classification based on either the nature of expenses or their function within the entity, whichever provides information that is ~~reliable~~ faithfully representative and more relevant.**

...

- 116 The choice between the function of expense method and the nature of expense method depends on historical and regulatory factors and the nature of the entity. Both methods provide an indication of those costs that might vary, directly or indirectly, with the outputs of the entity. Because each method of presentation has its merits for different types of entities, this Standard requires management to select the most relevant and ~~reliable~~ faithfully representative presentation. However, because information on the nature of expenses is useful in predicting future cash flows, additional disclosure is required when the function of expense classification is used. In paragraph 115, employee benefits has the same meaning as in IPSAS 25, *Employee Benefits*.

## Effective Date

...

- 153E. Paragraphs 29, 44, 70, 73, 74, 109 and 116 were amended, and Appendix A, *Qualitative Characteristics of Financial Reporting*, was deleted by *Improvements to IPSASs 2015* issued in Month 2016. An entity shall apply those amendments for annual financial statements covering periods beginning on or after MM DD, YYYY.**

## Appendix A

### **Qualitative Characteristics of Financial Reporting**

*This Appendix is an integral part of IPSAS 1.*

**~~The IPSASB issued Chapter 3, *Qualitative Characteristics of the Conceptual Framework for General Purpose Financial Reporting by Public Sector Entities (the Framework)* in January 2013. Chapter 3 details the qualitative characteristics (QCs) of information included in general purpose financial reports (GPFs) and the pervasive constraints on information included in GPFs.~~**

~~The QCs in this Appendix continue to apply to existing pronouncements unless stated otherwise. The QCs in the *Framework* will be applied in the development of future pronouncements. Potential changes to pronouncements resulting from the issue of the *Framework*, including the potential withdrawal of this Appendix, will be considered following completion of the *Framework*.~~

~~Paragraph 29 of this Standard requires an entity to present information, including accounting policies, in a manner that meets a number of qualitative characteristics. This guidance summarizes the qualitative characteristics of financial reporting.~~

~~Qualitative characteristics are the attributes that make the information provided in financial statements useful to users. The four principal qualitative characteristics are understandability, relevance, reliability, and comparability.~~

### **Understandability**

~~Information is understandable when users might reasonably be expected to comprehend its meaning. For this purpose, users are assumed to have a reasonable knowledge of the entity's activities and the environment in which it operates, and to be willing to study the information.~~

~~Information about complex matters should not be excluded from the financial statements merely on the grounds that it may be too difficult for certain users to understand.~~

### **Relevance**

~~Information is relevant to users if it can be used to assist in evaluating past, present, or future events or in confirming, or correcting, past evaluations. In order to be relevant, information must also be timely.~~

### **Materiality**

~~The relevance of information is affected by its nature and materiality.~~

~~Information is material if its omission or misstatement could influence the decisions of users or assessments made on the basis of the financial statements. Materiality depends on the nature or size of the item or error, judged in the particular circumstances of its omission or misstatement. Thus, materiality provides a threshold or cut-off point rather than being a primary qualitative characteristic that information must have if it is to be useful.~~

### **Reliability**

~~Reliable information is free from material error and bias, and can be depended on by users to represent faithfully that which it purports to represent or could reasonably be expected to represent.~~

### **Faithful Representation**

~~For information to represent faithfully transactions and other events, it should be presented in accordance with the substance of the transactions and other events, and not merely their legal form.~~

### **Substance Over Form**

~~If information is to represent faithfully the transactions and other events that it purports to represent, it is necessary that they be accounted for and presented in accordance with their substance and economic reality, and not merely their legal form. The substance of transactions or other events is not always consistent with their legal form.~~

### *Neutrality*

~~Information is neutral if it is free from bias. Financial statements are not neutral if the information they contain has been selected or presented in a manner designed to influence the making of a decision or judgment in order to achieve a predetermined result or outcome.~~

### *Prudence*

~~Prudence is the inclusion of a degree of caution in the exercise of the judgments needed in making the estimates required under conditions of uncertainty, such that assets or revenue are not overstated and liabilities or expenses are not understated.~~

~~However, the exercise of prudence does not allow, for example, (a) the creation of hidden reserves or excessive provisions, (b) the deliberate understatement of assets or revenue, or (c) the deliberate overstatement of liabilities or expenses, because the financial statements would not be neutral and, therefore, not have the quality of reliability.~~

### *Completeness*

~~The information in financial statements should be complete within the bounds of materiality and cost.~~

### **Comparability**

~~Information in financial statements is comparable when users are able to identify similarities and differences between that information and information in other reports.~~

~~Comparability applies to the:~~

- ~~(a) Comparison of financial statements of different entities; and~~
- ~~(b) Comparison of the financial statements of the same entity over periods of time.~~

~~An important implication of the characteristic of comparability is that users need to be informed of the policies employed in the preparation of financial statements, changes to those policies, and the effects of those changes.~~

~~Because users wish to compare the performance of an entity over time, it is important that financial statements show corresponding information for preceding periods.~~

### **Constraints on Relevant and Reliable Information**

#### *Timeliness*

~~If there is an undue delay in the reporting of information, it may lose its relevance. To provide information on a timely basis, it may often be necessary to report before all aspects of a transaction are known, thus impairing reliability. Conversely, if reporting is delayed until all aspects are known, the information may be highly reliable but of little use to users who have had to make decisions in the interim. In achieving a balance between relevance and reliability, the overriding consideration is how best to satisfy the decision-making needs of users.~~

#### *Balance between Benefit and Cost*

~~The balance between benefit and cost is a pervasive constraint. The benefits derived from information should exceed the cost of providing it. The evaluation of benefits and costs is, however, substantially a matter of judgment. Furthermore, the costs do not always fall on those users who enjoy the benefits. Benefits may also be enjoyed by users other than those for whom the information was prepared. For these reasons, it is difficult to apply a benefit-cost test in any particular case. Nevertheless, standard setters, as~~

~~well as those responsible for the preparation of financial statements and users of financial statements, should be aware of this constraint.~~

#### ~~*Balance between Qualitative Characteristics*~~

~~In practice a balancing, or trade-off, between qualitative characteristics is often necessary. Generally, the aim is to achieve an appropriate balance among the characteristics in order to meet the objectives of financial statements. The relative importance of the characteristics in different cases is a matter of professional judgment.~~

## Basis for Conclusions

...

### **Revision of IPSAS 1 as a result of the first four chapters of the IPASB's *Conceptual Framework for General Purpose Financial Reporting by Public Sector Entities***

- BC 13. Following completion of the *Conceptual Framework for General Purpose Financial Reporting by Public Sector Entities* (the Conceptual Framework) the IPSASB initiated a limited scope project to make changes to IPSASs to reflect the first four chapters of the Conceptual Framework. These chapters address role and authority; objectives and users; qualitative characteristics (QCs) and constraints on information in general purpose financial reports; and the reporting entity. The Conceptual Framework adopted the QC of "fair representation" rather than "reliability".
- BC14. Both the version of IPSAS 1 issued in May 2000 and the revised version of IPSAS 1 issued in December 2006 included an appendix that summarized the QCS and constraints that IPSASB had indirectly adopted. These QCs and constraints were drawn from the former International Accounting Standards Committee's 1989 Conceptual Framework. The IPSASB considered whether this Appendix should be deleted completely or amended to reflect the QCs and constraints in the IPSASB's own Conceptual Framework. The IPSASB decided that it is important that the concepts in the Conceptual Framework are considered directly rather than being mediated through secondary sources. The IPSASB therefore decided to delete Appendix A completely. Consistent with this decision the IPSASB also decided to delete a replication of Appendix A in IPSAS 18, *Segment Reporting*
- BC15. The IPSASB noted that recognition criteria in IPSASs include the words "reliably" or "reliable" Many other IPSASs do not include explicit recognition criteria, but include references to "reliably" and "reliable" in more general guidance on recognition, estimation, allocation and other issues related to measurement. The IPSASB did not consider it appropriate to make piecemeal changes to recognition criteria in advance of a fuller review of recognition criteria and related guidance. The IPSASB therefore decided to include a footnote explaining the meaning of "reliability" in each IPSAS with recognition criteria or related guidance on aspects of measurement. This footnote states that "information that is reliable is free from material error and bias, and can be depended on by users to represent faithfully that which it purports to represent or could reasonably be expected to represent."

## Amendment: Part I-1b

### Amendments to IPSAS 3, *Accounting Policies. Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors*

Paragraphs 7, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17 and 34 are amended and paragraph 59B is added. New text is underlined and deleted text is struck through.

#### Definitions

7. The following terms are used in this Standard with the meanings specified:

...

**Prior period errors** are omissions from, and misstatements in, the entity's financial statements for one or more prior periods arising from a failure to use, or misuse of, ~~reliable~~ **faithfully representative** information that:

- (a) Was available when financial statements for those periods were authorized for issue; and
- (b) Could reasonably be expected to have been obtained and taken into account in the preparation and presentation of those financial statements.

Such errors include the effects of mathematical mistakes, mistakes in applying accounting policies, oversights or misinterpretations of facts, and fraud.

...

#### Accounting Policies

##### Selection and Application of Accounting Policies

...

10. IPSASs set out accounting policies that the IPSASB has concluded result in financial statements containing relevant and ~~reliable~~ **faithfully representative** information about the transactions, other events, and conditions to which they apply. Those policies need not be applied when the effect of applying them is immaterial. However, it is inappropriate to make, or leave uncorrected, immaterial departures from IPSASs to achieve a particular presentation of an entity's financial position, financial performance, or cash flows.

...

12. In the absence of an IPSAS that specifically applies to a transaction, other event, or condition, ~~management preparers~~ shall use ~~its~~ **their** judgment in developing and applying an accounting policy that results in information that is **relevant to the accountability and decision-making needs of users, represents faithfully the financial position, financial performance, and cash flows of the entity, meets the other qualitative characteristics and takes account of the constraints on information included in general purpose financial reports.**

~~(a) Relevant to the decision-making needs of users; and~~

~~(b) Reliable, in that the financial statements:~~

- ~~(i) Represent faithfully the financial position, financial performance, and cash flows of the entity;~~

- ~~(ii) Reflect the economic substance of transactions, other events, and conditions and not merely the legal form;~~
- ~~(iii) Are neutral, i.e., free from bias;~~
- ~~(iv) Are prudent; and~~
- ~~(v) Are complete in all material respects.~~

13. Paragraph 12 requires the development of accounting policies to ensure that the financial statements provide information that meets ~~a number of the~~ qualitative characteristics—relevance, faithful representation, understandability, comparability, timeliness and verifiability— while taking into account materiality, cost-benefit and the balance between the qualitative characteristics. ~~Appendix A in IPSAS 1 summarizes the qualitative characteristics of financial reporting.~~
14. In making the judgment, described in paragraph 12, ~~management preparers~~ shall refer to, and consider the applicability of, the following sources in ~~descending~~ the following order:
- (a) The requirements in IPSASs dealing with similar and related issues; and
  - (b) The definitions, recognition and measurement criteria for assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses described in ~~other IPSASs~~ the Conceptual Framework for General Purpose Financial Reporting by Public Sector Entities.
15. In making the judgment described in paragraph 12, ~~management preparers~~ may also consider (a) the most recent pronouncements of other standard-setting bodies, and (b) accepted public or private sector practices, but only to the extent that these do not conflict with the sources in paragraph 14. Examples of such pronouncements include pronouncements of the IASB, including ~~the Framework for the Preparation and Presentation of Financial Statements~~, IFRSs, and Interpretations issued by the IASB's International Financial Reporting Interpretations Committee (IFRIC) or the former Standing Interpretations Committee (SIC).

...

#### Changes in Accounting Policies

17. An entity shall change an accounting policy only if the change:
- (a) Is required by an IPSAS; or
  - (b) Results in the financial statements providing ~~reliable~~ faithfully representative and more relevant information about the effects of transactions, other events, and conditions on the entity's financial position, financial performance, or cash flows.

...

#### Limitations on Retrospective Application

...

#### Disclosure

...

- 34 When a voluntary change in accounting policy (a) has an effect on the current period or any prior period, (b) would have an effect on that period, except that it is impracticable to

determine the amount of the adjustment, or (c) might have an effect on future periods, an entity shall disclose:

- (a) The nature of the change in accounting policy;
- (b) The reasons why applying the new accounting policy provides ~~reliable~~ faithfully representative and more relevant information;
- (c) For the current period and each prior period presented, to the extent practicable, the amount of the adjustment for each financial statement line item affected;
- (d) The amount of the adjustment relating to periods before those presented, to the extent practicable; and
- (e) If retrospective application is impracticable for a particular prior period, or for periods before those presented, the circumstances that led to the existence of that condition and a description of how and from when the change in accounting policy has been applied.

...

## Effective Date

...

59B. Paragraphs 12, 13, 14, 15, 17 and 34 were amended by *Improvements to IPSASs 2015* issued in Month 2016. An entity shall apply those amendments for annual financial statements covering periods beginning on or after MM DD, YYYY. Earlier application is encouraged. If an entity applies the amendments for a period beginning before MM DD, YYYY it shall disclose that fact.

## Basis for Conclusions

Revision of IPSAS 3 as a result of the publication of the *Conceptual Framework for General Purpose Financial Reporting by Public Sector Entities*

...

BC8 Following the publication of the *Conceptual Framework for General Purpose Financial Reporting by Public Sector Entities* (the Conceptual Framework) the IPSASB initiated a limited scope project to make changes to IPSASs to reflect the first four chapters. These chapters address role and authority; objectives and users; qualitative characteristics (QCs) and constraints on information in general purpose financial reports; and the reporting entity.

BC9 Paragraph 12 of IPSAS 3 provides the first level requirement for the development of an accounting policy when there is not an IPSAS that specifically applies to a transaction, other event or condition. The 2006 version of IPSAS 1 specified that management should use its judgment in developing and applying an accounting policy that results in information that is relevant and reliable. The IPSASB decided to replace the reference to reliability with faithful representation in order to ensure consistency with the Conceptual Framework. Consistent with its decision not to distinguish fundamental and enhancing QCs the IPSASB decided to acknowledge the other QCs and the constraints on information included in general purpose financial reports in paragraph 12

BC10. IPSAS 3 had also listed a number of attributes of reliability, including economic substance, neutrality, prudence, and completeness. The IPSASB considered whether these attributes should be

explicitly stated in the revised IPSAS 3. The IPSASB acknowledges the value of these attributes, but noted that whereas they had been specifically referenced and explained in Appendix A to IPSAS 1 they are not specifically identified as QCs in the Conceptual Framework.

- BC11 The Conceptual Framework explains that “faithful representation is attained when the depiction of the phenomenon is complete, neutral, and free from material error”, and further that “information that faithfully represents an economic or other phenomenon depicts the substance of the underlying transaction, other event, activity or circumstance—which is not necessarily always the same as its legal form.” Therefore substance over form remains a key quality that information included in GPFs must possess. It is not identified as a separate or additional qualitative characteristic because it is already embedded in the notion of faithful representation.
- BC12 The IPSASB took the view that the notion of prudence is also reflected in the explanation of neutrality as a component of faithful representation, and the acknowledgement of the need to exercise caution in dealing with uncertainty.
- BC13 Consequently the IPSASB concluded that there is no need to explicitly refer to economic substance, neutrality, prudence, and completeness in paragraph 12
- BC14 Paragraph 15 permits consideration of the most recent pronouncements of other standard-setting bodies, to the extent that they do not conflict with sources drawn from IPSASs in making judgments on the development and application of an accounting policy. The IPSASB considered whether it should retain the examples of pronouncements of the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB). Noting that the revision of the IASB’s Conceptual Framework had not been completed at the time of The IPSASB took the view that there are differences between the IPSASB’s *Conceptual Framework* and the IASB’s developing revision of its *Conceptual Framework*. Consequently the development and application of accounting policies based on the IASB’s Conceptual Framework might not always be appropriate in the public sector. The IPSASB did consider that the other examples of IASB pronouncements in paragraph 15— IFRSs, and Interpretations issued by the IASB’s International Financial Reporting Interpretations Committee (IFRIC) or the former Standing Interpretations Committee (SIC) —are useful and should be retained.



## Implementation Guidance

*This guidance accompanies, but is not part of, IPSAS 3.*

### Change in Accounting Policy with Retrospective Application

IG7. During 20X2, the entity changed its accounting policy for the treatment of borrowing costs that are directly attributable to the acquisition of a hydro-electric power station that is under construction. In previous periods, the entity had capitalized such costs. The entity has now decided to expense, rather than capitalize them. Management Preparers judges that the new policy is preferable, because it results in a more transparent treatment of finance costs and is consistent with local industry practice, making the entity's financial statements more comparable.

...

IG13. The entity had CU10,000 of contributed capital throughout, and no other components of net assets/equity except for accumulated surplus.

### Public Sector Entity Statement of Financial Performance

	20X2	(restated) 20X1
	CU	CU
Surplus before interest	30,000	18,000
Interest expense	(3,000)	(2,600)
Surplus	27,000	15,400

### Public Sector Entity Statement of Changes in Net Assets/Equity

	Contributed capital	(restated) Accumulated Surplus	Total
	CU	CU	CU
Balance at 31 December 20X0 as previously reported	10,000	20,000	30,000
Change in accounting policy with respect to the capitalization of interest (Note 1)	–	(5,200)	(5,200)
Balance at 31 December 20X0 as restated	10,000	14,800	24,800
Surplus for the year ended 31 December 20X1 (restated)	–	15,400	15,400
Balance at 31 December 20X1	10,000	30,200	40,200
Surplus for the year ended 31 December 20X2	–	27,000	27,000
Closing at 31 December 20X2	10,000	57,200	67,200

### Extracts from Notes to the Financial Statements

- During 20X2, the entity changed its accounting policy for the treatment of borrowing costs related to a hydro-electric power station. Previously, the entity capitalized such costs. They are now written off as expenses as incurred. Management Preparers judges that this policy provides reliable and more relevant information, because it results in a more transparent treatment of finance costs and is consistent with local industry practice, making the entity's financial statements more comparable. This change in accounting policy has been accounted for retrospectively, and the comparative statements for 20X1 have been restated. The effect of the change on 20X1 is tabulated below. Opening accumulated surpluses for 20X1 have been reduced by CU5,200, which is the amount of the adjustment relating to periods prior to 20X1.

	CU
Effect on 20-1	
(Increase) in interest expense	(2,600)
(Decrease) in surplus	(2,600)
Effect on periods prior to 20-1	

(Decrease) in surplus	(5,200)
(Decrease) in assets in the course of construction and in accumulated surplus	(7,800)

## Prospective Application of a Change in Accounting Policy When Retrospective Application is not Practicable

...

IG15. In years before 20X2, the entity's asset records were not sufficiently detailed to apply a components approach fully. At the end of year 20X1, management commissioned an engineering survey, which provided information on the components held and their fair values, useful lives, estimated residual values, and depreciable amounts at the beginning of 20X2. However, the survey did not provide a sufficient basis for reliably estimating the cost of those components that had not previously been accounted for separately, and the existing records before the survey did not permit this information to be reconstructed.

IG16. Management Preparers considered how to account for each of the two aspects of the accounting change. They determined that it was not practicable to account for the change to a fuller components approach retrospectively, or to account for that change prospectively from any earlier date than the start of 20X2. Also, the change from a cost model to a revaluation model is required to be accounted for prospectively. Therefore, management preparers concluded that ~~it should apply~~ the entity's new policy should be applied prospectively from the start of 20X2.

IG17. Additional information:

	CU
Property, plant and equipment	
Cost	25,000
Depreciation	(14,000)
Net book value	11,000
Prospective depreciation expense for 20X2 (old basis)	1,500
Some results of the engineering survey	
Valuation	17,000
Estimated residual value	3,000
Average remaining assets life (years)	7
Depreciation expense on existing property, plant and equipment for 20X2 (new basis)	2,000

### Extracts from Notes to the Financial Statements

- From the start of 20X2, the entity changed its accounting policy for depreciating property, plant, and equipment, so as to apply much more fully a components approach, while at the same time adopting the revaluation model. Management Preparers takes the view that this policy provides reliable and more relevant information, because it deals more accurately with the components of property, plant, and equipment and is based on up-to-date values. The policy has been applied prospectively from the start of 20X2, because it was not practicable to estimate the effects of applying the policy either retrospectively or prospectively from any earlier date. Accordingly the adopting of the new policy has no effect on prior periods. The effect on the current year is to (a) increase the carrying amount of property, plant, and equipment at the start of the year by CU6,000, (b) create a revaluation reserve at the start of the year of CU6,000, and (c) increase depreciation expense by CU500.

## Amendment: Part I-1c

### Amendments to IPSAS 16, *Investment Property*

Paragraph 40 is amended and paragraph 101B is added. New text is underlined and deleted text is struck through.

## Measurement after Recognition

### Accounting Policy

...

40. IPSAS 3, *Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors* states that a voluntary change in accounting policy shall be made only if the change results in the financial statements providing ~~reliable~~ faithfully representative and more relevant information about the effects of transactions, other events or conditions on the entity's financial position, financial performance or cash flows. It is highly unlikely that a change from the fair value model to the cost model will result in a more relevant presentation.

...

### Effective Date

...

- 101B. Paragraph 40 was amended by *Improvements to IPSASs 2015* issued in Month 2016. An entity shall apply this amendment for annual financial statements covering periods beginning on or after MM DD, YYYY. Earlier application is encouraged. If an entity applies the amendment for a period beginning before MM DD, YYYY it shall disclose that fact.

## Amendment: Part I-1d

### Amendment to IPSAS 18, *Segment Reporting*

Paragraph 69 is amended and paragraph 76A is added. New text is underlined and deleted text is struck through.

#### Disclosure

...

#### Other Disclosure Matters

...

69. Changes in accounting policies adopted by the entity are dealt with in IPSAS 3, *Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors*. IPSAS 3 requires that changes in accounting policy be made only (a) if required by an IPSAS, or (b) if the change will result in ~~reliable~~ faithfully representative and more relevant information about transactions, other events, and conditions in the financial statements of the entity.

#### Effective Date

...

- 76A. Paragraph 69 was amended by *Improvements to IPSASs 2015* issued in Month 2016. An entity shall apply this amendment for annual financial statements covering periods beginning on or after MM DD, YYYY. Earlier application is encouraged. If an entity applies the amendment for a period beginning before MM DD, YYYY it shall disclose that fact.

#### Implementation Guidance

*This guidance accompanies, but is not part of, IPSAS 18.*

#### Summary of Required Disclosures

[¶xx] refers to paragraph xx in the Standard.

##### *Disclosures*

Total expense by segment [¶52]

Total revenue by segment [¶52]

Revenue from budget appropriation or similar allocation by segment [¶52]

Revenue from external sources (other than appropriation or similar allocation) by segment [¶52]

Revenue from transactions with other segments by segment [¶52]

Carrying amount of segment assets by segment [¶53]

Segment liabilities by segment [¶54]

Cost to acquire assets by segment [¶55]

Share of net surplus (deficit) of [¶61] and investment in [¶63] equity method associates or joint ventures by segment (if substantially all within a single segment)

Reconciliation of revenue, expense, assets and liabilities by segment [¶64]

##### *Other Disclosures*

Basis of pricing inter-segment transfers and any changes therein [¶67]

Changes in segment accounting policies [¶68]

Types of products and services in each service segment [¶73]

Composition of each geographical segment [¶73]

If neither a service nor geographical basis of segmentation is adopted, the nature of the segments and activities encompassed by each segment [¶73]

### **Qualitative Characteristics of Financial Reporting**

~~IG1. Paragraph 15 of this Standard requires the development of accounting policies to ensure that the financial statements provide information that meets a number of qualitative characteristics. This guidance summarizes the qualitative characteristics of financial reporting.~~

~~IG2. Qualitative characteristics are the attributes that make the information provided in financial statements useful to users. The four principal qualitative characteristics are understandability, relevance, reliability and comparability.~~

#### *Understandability*

~~IG3. Information is understandable when users might reasonably be expected to comprehend its meaning. For this purpose, users are assumed to have a reasonable knowledge of the entity's activities and the environment in which it operates, and to be willing to study the information.~~

~~IG4. Information about complex matters should not be excluded from the financial statements merely on the grounds that it may be too difficult for certain users to understand.~~

#### *Relevance*

~~IG5. Information is relevant to users if it can be used to assist in evaluating past, present, or future events or in confirming or correcting past evaluations. In order to be relevant, information must also be timely.~~

#### *Materiality*

~~IG6. The relevance of information is affected by its nature and materiality.~~

~~IG7. Information is material if its omission or misstatement could influence the decisions of users or assessments made on the basis of the financial statements. Materiality depends on the nature or size of the item or error judged in the particular circumstances of its omission or misstatement. Thus, materiality provides a threshold or cut-off point, rather than being a primary qualitative characteristic that information must have if it is to be useful.~~

#### *Reliability*

~~IG8. Reliable information is free from material error and bias, and can be depended on by users to represent faithfully that which it purports to represent or could reasonably be expected to represent.~~

#### *Faithful Representation*

~~IG9. For information to represent faithfully transactions and other events, it should be presented in accordance with the substance of the transactions and other events, and not merely their legal form.~~

#### *Substance Over Form*

~~IG10. If information is to represent faithfully the transactions and other events that it purports to represent, it is necessary that they are accounted for and presented in accordance with their substance and~~

~~economic reality, and not merely their legal form. The substance of transactions or other events is not always consistent with their legal form.~~

#### *Neutrality*

~~IG11. Information is neutral if it is free from bias. Financial statements are not neutral if the information they contain has been selected or presented in a manner designed to influence the making of a decision or judgment in order to achieve a predetermined result or outcome.~~

#### **Prudence**

~~IG12. Prudence is the inclusion of a degree of caution in the exercise of the judgments needed in making the estimates required under conditions of uncertainty, such that assets or revenue are not overstated, and liabilities or expenses are not understated.~~

~~IG13. However, the exercise of prudence does not allow, for example, the creation of hidden reserves or excessive provisions, the deliberate understatement of assets or revenue, or the deliberate overstatement of liabilities or expenses, because the financial statements would not be neutral and, therefore, not have the quality of reliability.~~

#### *Completeness*

~~IG14. The information in financial statements should be complete within the bounds of materiality and cost.~~

#### *Comparability*

~~IG15. Information in financial statements is comparable when users are able to identify similarities and differences between that information and information in other reports.~~

~~IG16. Comparability applies to the:~~

- ~~(a) — comparison of financial statements of different entities; and~~
- ~~(b) — comparison of the financial statements of the same entity over periods of time.~~

~~IG17. An important implication of the characteristic of comparability is that users need to be informed of the policies employed in the preparation of financial statements, changes to those policies, and the effects of those changes.~~

~~IG18. Because users wish to compare the performance of an entity over time, it is important that financial statements show corresponding information for preceding periods.~~

#### **Constraints on Relevant and Reliable Information**

##### *Timeliness*

~~IG19. If there is an undue delay in the reporting of information, it may lose its relevance. To provide information on a timely basis, it may often be necessary to report before all aspects of a transaction are known, thus impairing reliability. Conversely, if reporting is delayed until all aspects are known, the information may be highly reliable but of little use to users who have had to make decisions in the interim. In achieving a balance between relevance and reliability, the overriding consideration is how best to satisfy the decision-making needs of users.~~

##### *Balance between Benefit and Cost*

~~IG20. The balance between benefit and cost is a pervasive constraint. The benefits derived from information should exceed the cost of providing it. The evaluation of benefits and costs is, however, substantially a matter of judgment. Furthermore, the costs do not always fall on those users who enjoy the benefits.~~

~~Benefits may also be enjoyed by users other than those for whom the information was prepared. For these reasons, it is difficult to apply a benefit-cost test in any particular case. Nevertheless, standard setters, as well as those responsible for the preparation of financial statements and users of financial statements, should be aware of this constraint.~~

~~*Balance between Qualitative Characteristics*~~

~~IG21. In practice a balancing, or trade-off, between qualitative characteristics is often necessary. Generally the aim is to achieve an appropriate balance among the characteristics in order to meet the objectives of financial statements. The relative importance of the characteristics in different cases is a matter of professional judgment.~~

## **Amendment: Part I-1e**

### **Amendments to IPSAS 20, *Related Party Disclosures***

Paragraphs 27 and 32 are amended and paragraph 42A is added. New text is underlined and deleted text is struck through.

#### **Disclosure**

...

#### **Disclosure of Related Party Transactions**

27 In respect of transactions between related parties, other than transactions that would occur within a normal supplier or client/recipient relationship on terms and conditions no more or less favorable than those which it is reasonable to expect the entity would have adopted if dealing with that individual or entity at arm's length in the same circumstances, the reporting entity shall disclose:

- (a) The nature of the related party relationships;
- (b) The types of transactions that have occurred; and
- (c) The elements of the transactions necessary to clarify the significance of these transactions to its operations and sufficient to enable the financial statements to provide relevant and ~~reliable~~ faithfully representative information for decision making and accountability purposes.

...

32. Items of a similar nature may be disclosed in aggregate, except when separate disclosure is necessary to provide relevant and ~~reliable~~ faithfully representative information for decision-making and accountability purposes.

#### **Effective Date**

...

42A. Paragraphs 27 and 32 were amended by *Improvements to IPSASs 2015* issued in Month 2016. An entity shall apply these amendments for annual financial statements covering periods beginning on or after MM DD, YYYY. Earlier application is encouraged. If an entity applies these amendments for a period beginning before MM DD, YYYY it shall disclose that fact.



## Amendment: Part I-1f

### Amendments to IPSAS 22, Disclosures about the General Government Sector

Paragraph 13 is amended and paragraph 47A is added. New text is underlined and deleted text is struck through.

#### Scope

...

#### Accounting Policies

...

- 13 This standard requires that when disclosures about the GGS are made in financial statements, those disclosures are to be made in accordance with the requirements prescribed in this Standard. This will ensure that an appropriate representation of the GGS is made in the financial statements, and that disclosures about the GGS satisfy the qualitative characteristics of financial information, including understandability, relevance, ~~reliability~~ faithful representation, and comparability.

#### Effective Date

...

- 47A. Paragraph 13 was amended by *Improvements to IPSASs 2015* issued in Month 2016. An entity shall apply this amendment for annual financial statements covering periods beginning on or after MM DD, YYYY. Earlier application is encouraged. If an entity applies the amendment for a period beginning before MM DD, YYYY it shall disclose that fact.

## Amendment: Part I-1g

### Amendments to IPSAS 24, *Presentation of Budget Information in Financial Statements*

Paragraph 26 is amended and paragraph 54A is added. New text is underlined and deleted text is struck through.

#### Presentation of a Comparison of Budget and Actual Amounts

...

#### Level of Aggregation

...

26. IPSAS 3, *Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors*, requires financial statements to provide information that meets a number of qualitative characteristics, including that the information is relevant to the decision-making needs of users, represents faithfully the financial position, financial performance, and cash flows of the entity, meets the other qualitative characteristics and takes account of the constraints on information included in general purpose financial reports.

~~(a) Relevant to the decision-making needs of users; and~~

~~(b) Reliable in that the financial statements:~~

~~(i) Represent faithfully the financial position, financial performance, and cash flows of the entity;~~

~~(ii) Reflect the economic substance of transactions, other events, and conditions, and not merely the legal form;~~

~~(iii) Are neutral, that is, free from bias;~~

~~(iv) Are prudent; and~~

~~Are complete in all material respect~~

#### Effective Date

...

- 54A. Paragraph 26 was amended by *Improvements to IPSASs 2015* issued in Month 2016. An entity shall apply this amendment for annual financial statements covering periods beginning on or after MM DD, YYYY. Earlier application is encouraged. If an entity applies the amendment for a period beginning before MM DD, YYYY it shall disclose that fact.

## **Amendment: Part I-1h**

### **Amendment to IPSAS 29, *Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement***

Paragraph AG8 is amended. New text is underlined and deleted text is struck through.

#### **Application Guidance**

*This Appendix is an integral part of IPSAS 29.*

#### **Definitions (paragraphs 9 and 10)**

*Designation as at Fair Value through Surplus or Deficit*

...

AG8 The decision of an entity to designate a financial asset or financial liability as at fair value through surplus or deficit is similar to an accounting policy choice (although, unlike an accounting policy choice, it is not required to be applied consistently to all similar transactions). When an entity has such a choice, paragraph 17(b) of IPSAS 3, *Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors* requires the chosen policy to result in the financial statements providing ~~reliable~~ faithfully representative and more relevant information about the effects of transactions, other events and conditions on the entity's financial position, financial performance or cash flows. In the case of designation as at fair value through surplus or deficit, paragraph 10 sets out the two circumstances when the requirement for more relevant information will be met. Accordingly, to choose such designation in accordance with paragraph 10, the entity needs to demonstrate that it falls within one (or both) of these two circumstances.

## **Amendment: Part I-1i**

### **Amendment to IPSAS 30, *Financial Instruments: Disclosures***

Paragraph AG7 is amended. New text is underlined and deleted text is struck through.

#### **Application Guidance**

*This appendix is an integral part of IPSAS 30.*

#### **Nature and Extent of Risks Arising from Financial Instruments (paragraphs 38–49)**

...

##### *Quantitative Disclosures (paragraph 41)*

AG7. Paragraph 41(a) requires disclosures of summary quantitative data about an entity's exposure to risks based on the information provided internally to key management personnel of the entity. When an entity uses several methods to manage a risk exposure, the entity shall disclose information using the method or methods that provide the most relevant and ~~reliable~~ faithfully representative information. IPSAS 3, *Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors* discusses relevance and reliability.

## **Amendment: Part I-2a**

### **Amendment to IPSAS 5, *Borrowing Costs***

A footnote is added to paragraph 19. New text is underlined.

#### **Borrowing Costs—Allowed Alternative Treatment**

##### **Recognition**

...

- 19 Under the allowed alternative treatment, borrowing costs that are directly attributable to the acquisition, construction, or production of an asset are included in the cost of that asset. Such borrowing costs are capitalized as part of the cost of the asset when (a) it is probable that they will result in future economic benefits or service potential to the entity, and (b) the costs can be measured reliably<sup>1</sup>. Other borrowing costs are recognized as an expense in the period in which they are incurred.

---

<sup>1</sup> Information that is reliable is free from material error and bias, and can be depended on by users to represent faithfully that which it purports to represent or could reasonably be expected to represent.

## **Amendment: Part I-2b**

### **Amendment to IPSAS 7, *Investments in Associates***

A footnote is added to paragraph 3. New text is underlined.

##### **Scope**

...

- 3 This Standard provides the basis for accounting for ownership interests in associates. That is, the investment in the other entity confers on the investor the risks and rewards incidental to an ownership interest. This Standard applies only to investments in the formal equity structure (or its equivalent) of an investee. A formal equity structure means share capital or an equivalent form of unitized capital, such as units in a property trust, but may also include other equity structures in which the investor's interest can be measured reliably<sup>1</sup>. Where the equity structure is poorly defined, it may not be possible to obtain a reliable measure of the ownership interest.

---

<sup>1</sup> Information that is reliable is free from material error and bias, and can be depended on by users to represent faithfully that which it purports to represent or could reasonably be expected to represent.

## **Amendment: Part I-2c**

### **Amendment to IPSAS 9, *Revenue From Exchange Transactions***

A footnote is added to paragraph 19. New text is underlined.

#### **Rendering of Services**

- 19 **When the outcome of a transaction involving the rendering of services can be estimated reliably<sup>1</sup>, revenue associated with the transaction shall be recognized by reference to the stage of completion of the transaction at the reporting date. The outcome of a transaction can be estimated reliably when all the following conditions are satisfied:**
- (a) **The amount of revenue can be measured reliably;**
  - (b) **It is probable that the economic benefits or service potential associated with the transaction will flow to the entity;**
  - (c) **The stage of completion of the transaction at the reporting date can be measured reliably; and**
  - (d) **The costs incurred for the transaction and the costs to complete the transaction can be measured reliably.**

---

<sup>1</sup> Information that is reliable is free from material error and bias, and can be depended on by users to represent faithfully that which it purports to represent or could reasonably be expected to represent.

## **Amendment: Part I-2d**

### **Amendment to IPSAS 11, *Construction Contracts***

A footnote is added to paragraph 30. New text is underlined.

#### **Recognition of Contract Revenue and Expenses**

- 30 **When the outcome of a construction contract can be estimated reliably<sup>1</sup>, contract revenue and contract costs associated with the construction contract shall be recognized as revenue and expenses respectively by reference to the stage of completion of the contract activity at the reporting date. An expected deficit on a construction contract to which paragraph 44 applies shall be recognized as an expense immediately in accordance with paragraph 44.**

---

<sup>1</sup> Information that is reliable is free from material error and bias, and can be depended on by users to represent faithfully that which it purports to represent or could reasonably be expected to represent.

## **Amendment: Part I-2e**

### **Amendment to IPSAS 13, *Leases***

A footnote is added to paragraph 21. New text is underlined.

#### **Classification of Leases**

...

21. Whenever necessary in order to classify and account for a lease of land and buildings, the minimum lease payments (including any lump-sum upfront payments) are allocated between the land and the buildings elements in proportion to the relative fair values of the leasehold interests in the land element and buildings element of the lease at the inception of the lease. If the lease payments cannot be allocated reliably<sup>1</sup> between these two elements, the entire lease is classified as a finance lease, unless it is clear that both elements are operating leases, in which case the entire lease is classified as an operating lease.

---

<sup>1</sup> Information that is reliable is free from material error and bias, and can be depended on by users to represent faithfully that which it purports to represent or could reasonably be expected to represent.

## **Amendment: Part I-2f**

### **Amendment to IPSAS 16, *Investment Property***

A footnote is added to paragraph 20. New text is underlined.

#### **Recognition**

20. **Investment property shall be recognized as an asset when, and only when:**
- (a) **It is probable that the future economic benefits or service potential that are associated with the investment property will flow to the entity; and**
  - (b) **The cost or fair value of the investment property can be measured reliably<sup>1</sup>.**

---

<sup>1</sup> Information that is reliable is free from material error and bias, and can be depended on by users to represent faithfully that which it purports to represent or could reasonably be expected to represent.

## **Amendment: Part I-2g**

### **Amendment to IPSAS 17, *Property, Plant and Equipment***

A footnote is added to paragraph 14. New text is underlined.

#### **Recognition**

14. **The cost of an item of property, plant, and equipment shall be recognized as an asset if, and only if:**
- (a) **It is probable that future economic benefits or service potential associated with the item will flow to the entity; and**
  - (b) **The cost or fair value of the item can be measured reliably<sup>1</sup>.**

---

<sup>1</sup> Information that is reliable is free from material error and bias, and can be depended on by users to represent faithfully that which it purports to represent or could reasonably be expected to represent.



## **Amendment: Part I-2h**

### **Amendment to IPSAS 18, *Segment Reporting***

A footnote is added to paragraph 38. New text is underlined.

#### **Definitions of Segment Revenue, Expense, Assets, Liabilities, and Accounting Policies**

...

#### **Segment Assets, Liabilities, Revenue, and Expense**

...

- 38 In some jurisdictions, a government or government entity may control a GBE or other entity that operates on a commercial basis and is subject to income tax or income tax equivalents. These entities may be required to apply accounting standards such as IAS 12, *Income Taxes*, which prescribe the accounting treatment of income taxes or income tax equivalents. Such standards may require the recognition of income tax assets and liabilities in respect of income tax expenses, or income tax-equivalent expenses, which are recognized in the current period and are recoverable or repayable in future periods. These assets and liabilities are not included in segment assets or segment liabilities because they arise as a result of all the activities of the entity as a whole and the tax arrangements in place in respect of the entity. However, assets representing taxation revenue receivable that is controlled by a taxing authority will be included in segment assets of the authority if they can be directly attributed to that segment or allocated to it on a reliable<sup>1</sup> basis.

---

<sup>1</sup> Information that is reliable is free from material error and bias, and can be depended on by users to represent faithfully that which it purports to represent or could reasonably be expected to represent.

## **Amendment: Part I-2i**

### **Amendment to IPSAS 19, *Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets***

A footnote is added to paragraph 22. New text is underlined.

#### **Recognition**

##### **Provisions**

22. **A provision shall be recognized when:**

- (a) **An entity has a present obligation (legal or constructive) as a result of a past event;**
- (b) **It is probable that an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits or service potential will be required to settle the obligation; and**
- (c) **A reliable<sup>1</sup> estimate can be made of the amount of the obligation.**

**If these conditions are not met, no provision shall be recognized.**

---

<sup>1</sup> Information that is reliable is free from material error and bias, and can be depended on by users to represent faithfully that which it purports to represent or could reasonably be expected to represent.

## **Amendment: Part I-2j**

### **Amendment to IPSAS 21, *Impairment of Non-Cash-Generating Assets***

A footnote is added to paragraph 37. New text is underlined.

#### **Measuring Recoverable Service Amount**

...

37. It may be possible to determine fair value less costs to sell, even if an asset is not traded in an active market. Paragraph 42 sets out possible alternative bases for estimating fair value less costs to sell when an active market for the asset does not exist. However, sometimes it will not be possible to determine fair value less costs to sell, because there is no basis for making a reliable<sup>1</sup> estimate of the amount obtainable from the sale of the asset in an arm's length transaction between knowledgeable and willing parties. In this case, the entity may use the asset's value in use as its recoverable service amount.

---

<sup>1</sup> Information that is reliable is free from material error and bias, and can be depended on by users to represent faithfully that which it purports to represent or could reasonably be expected to represent.

## **Amendment: Part I-2k**

### **Amendment to IPSAS 23, *Revenue From Non-exchange Transactions (Taxes and Transfers)***

A footnote is added to paragraph 31. New text is underlined.

#### **Recognition of Assets**

...

- 31 **An inflow of resources from a non-exchange transaction, other than services in-kind, that meets the definition of an asset shall be recognized as an asset when, and only when:**
- (a) **It is probable that the future economic benefits or service potential associated with the asset will flow to the entity; and**
  - (b) **The fair value of the asset can be measured reliably<sup>1</sup>.**

---

<sup>1</sup> Information that is reliable is free from material error and bias, and can be depended on by users to represent faithfully that which it purports to represent or could reasonably be expected to represent.

## **Amendment: Part I-2l**

### **Amendment to IPSAS 25, *Employee Benefits***

A footnote is added to paragraph 20. New text is underlined.

#### **Recognition and Measurement**

##### **Bonus Payments and Profit-Sharing Payments**

20. **An entity shall recognize the expected cost of bonus payments and profit-sharing payments under paragraph 13 when, and only when:**
- (a) **The entity has a present legal or constructive obligation to make such payments as a result of past events; and**
  - (b) **A reliable<sup>1</sup> estimate of the obligation can be made.**

**A present obligation exists when, and only when, the entity has no realistic alternative but to make the payments.**

---

<sup>1</sup> Information that is reliable is free from material error and bias, and can be depended on by users to represent faithfully that which it purports to represent or could reasonably be expected to represent.

## **Amendment: Part I-2m**

### **Amendment to IPSAS 26, *Impairment of Cash-Generating Assets***

A footnote is added to paragraph 33. New text is underlined.

#### **Measuring Recoverable Amount**

...

33. It may be possible to determine fair value less costs to sell, even if an asset is not traded in an active market. However, sometimes it will not be possible to determine fair value less costs to sell because there is no basis for making a reliable<sup>1</sup> estimate of the amount obtainable from the sale of the asset in an arm's length transaction between knowledgeable and willing parties. In this case, the entity may use the asset's value in use as its recoverable amount.

---

<sup>1</sup> Information that is reliable is free from material error and bias, and can be depended on by users to represent faithfully that which it purports to represent or could reasonably be expected to represent.

## **Amendment: Part I-2n**

### **Amendment to IPSAS 27, *Agriculture***

A footnote is added to paragraph 13. New text is underlined.

#### **Recognition and Measurement**

13. **An entity shall recognize a biological asset or agricultural produce when and only when:**
- (a) **The entity controls the asset as a result of past events;**
  - (b) **It is probable that future economic benefits or service potential associated with the asset will flow to the entity; and**
  - (c) **The fair value or cost of the asset can be measured reliably<sup>1</sup>.**

---

<sup>1</sup> Information that is reliable is free from material error and bias, and can be depended on by users to represent faithfully that which it purports to represent or could reasonably be expected to represent.

## **Amendment: Part I-2o**

### **Amendment to IPSAS 31, *Intangible Assets***

A footnote is added to paragraph 28. New text is underlined.

#### **Recognition and Measurement**

28. **An intangible asset shall be recognized if, and only if:**

- (a) **It is probable that the expected future economic benefits or service potential that are attributable to the asset will flow to the entity; and**
- (b) **The cost or fair value of the asset can be measured reliably<sup>1</sup>.**

---

<sup>1</sup> Information that is reliable is free from material error and bias, and can be depended on by users to represent faithfully that which it purports to represent or could reasonably be expected to represent.

## **Amendment: Part I-2p**

### **Amendment to IPSAS 32, *Service Concession Assets***

A footnote is added to paragraph AG20. New text is underlined.

#### **Recognition and Initial Measurement of a Service Concession Asset (see paragraphs 9–13)**

##### *Constructed or Developed Asset*

AG20. Where a constructed or developed asset meets the conditions in paragraph 9 (or paragraph 10 for a whole-of-life asset) the grantor recognizes and measures the asset in accordance with this Standard. IPSAS 17 or IPSAS 31, as appropriate, set out the criteria for when a service concession asset should be recognized. Both IPSAS 17 and IPSAS 31 require that an asset shall be recognized if, and only if:

- (a) It is probable that future economic benefits or service potential associated with the item will flow to the entity; and
- (b) The cost or fair value of the item can be measured reliably<sup>1</sup>.

---

<sup>1</sup> Information that is reliable is free from material error and bias, and can be depended on by users to represent faithfully that which it purports to represent or could reasonably be expected to represent.

## **Amendment: Part I-2q**

### **Amendment to IPSAS 33, *First-Time Adoption of Accrual Basis International Public Sector Accounting Standards***

A footnote is added to paragraph 39. New text is underlined.

#### **Exemptions that Affect Fair Presentation and Compliance with Accrual Basis IPSASs During the Period of Transition**

...

#### **Three Year Transitional Relief Period for the Recognition and/or Measurement of Assets and/or Liabilities**

##### *Recognition and/or Measurement of Assets and/or Liabilities*

...

- 39 Subject to paragraphs 36 and 38, a first-time adopter is not required to change its accounting policy(ies) in respect of the recognition and/or measurement of assets and/or liabilities for reporting periods beginning on a date within three years following the date of adoption of IPSASs. The transitional exemptions in paragraphs 36 and 38 are intended to allow a first-time adopter a period to develop reliable<sup>1</sup> models for recognizing and/or measuring its assets and/or liabilities during the period of transition. The first-time adopter may apply accounting policies for the recognition and/or measurement of such assets and/or liabilities that do not comply with the provisions of other IPSASs.

---

<sup>1</sup> Information that is reliable is free from material error and bias, and can be depended on by users to represent faithfully that which it purports to represent or could reasonably be expected to represent.

## **Amendment: Part I-2r**

### **Amendment to IPSAS 34, *Separate Financial Statements***

A footnote is added to paragraph BC6. New text is underlined.

#### **Use of the Equity Method in Separate Statements**

...

BC6. The IPSASB decided to continue to permit the use of the equity method in separate financial statements for the following reasons:

- (a) The equity method is a well-established method of accounting for certain investments in the public sector. In many circumstances where investments are held by public sector entities, the equity method can provide information that is reliable<sup>1</sup> and useful, and possibly at a lower cost than either the cost method or the fair value method. In the public sector, investment entities are often used more as “instruments” to enable service provision, rather than as a holding for investment purposes, as might generally be the case in the private sector. The equity method may therefore, in some circumstances, be better suited to meeting user needs in the public sector, as it allows the financial statements to portray the fluctuations in the equity of, and performance by, an investment over time, in a cost effective and easily understood manner.

---

<sup>1</sup> Information that is reliable is free from material error and bias, and can be depended on by users to represent faithfully that which it purports to represent or could reasonably be expected to represent.

## **Amendment: Part I-2s**

### **Amendment to IPSAS 36, *Investments in Associates and Joint Ventures***

A footnote is added to paragraph 4. New text is underlined.

#### **Scope**

...

4. This Standard provides the basis for accounting for ownership interests in associates and joint ventures. That is, the investment in the other entity confers on the entity the risks and rewards incidental to an ownership interest. This Standard applies only to quantifiable ownership interests. This includes ownership interests arising from investments in the formal equity structure of another entity. A formal equity structure means share capital or an equivalent form of capital, such as units in a property trust. Quantifiable ownership interests may also include ownership interests arising from other investments in which the entity's ownership interest can be measured reliably<sup>1</sup> (for example, interests in a partnership). Where the equity structure of the other entity is poorly defined, it may not be possible to obtain a reliable measure of the ownership interest.

---

<sup>1</sup> Information that is reliable is free from material error and bias, and can be depended on by users to represent faithfully that which it purports to represent or could reasonably be expected to represent.



## PART II: GENERAL IMPROVEMENTS TO IPSASS

### Objective

4. The objective of Part II of the Exposure Draft is to propose improvements to IPSASs in order to address issues raised by stakeholders.

### Request for Comments

5. The IPSASB would welcome comments on all the changes proposed in the Exposure Draft. Comments are most helpful if they indicate the specific paragraph or group of paragraphs to which they relate, contain a clear rationale and, where applicable, provide a suggestion for alternative wording.

### IPSASs Addressed

6. The Improvements project deals with non-substantive changes to IPSAS through a collection of amendments which are unrelated. Amendments included in Part II arise from comments received from stakeholders.

### Improvements to IPSASs

<b><i>IPSAS Standard</i></b>	<b><i>Summary of Proposed Change</i></b>
IPSAS 14, <i>Events after the Reporting Date</i> ; IPSAS 19, <i>Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets</i> ; IPSAS 26, <i>Impairment of Cash-Generating Assets</i> ; IPSAS 27, <i>Agriculture</i> ; and IPSAS 31, <i>Intangible Assets</i>	Amendments to remove references to the relevant international or national accounting standard dealing with non-current assets held for sale and discontinued operations.
IPSAS 32, <i>Service Concession Arrangements</i>	Amendments to clarify the conflict between IPSAS 32 and IPSAS 17, <i>Property, Plant and Equipment</i> , over dissimilar assets being accounted for as a class of assets.

## **Amendment: Part II-1a**

### **Amendments to IPSAS 14, *Events after the Reporting Date***

Paragraph 31 is amended and paragraph 33B is added as follows. New text is underlined and deleted text is struck through.

#### **Disclosure of Non-adjusting Events after the Reporting Date**

31. The following are examples of non-adjusting events after the reporting date that would generally result in disclosure:

...

- (d) Announcing a plan to discontinue an operation or major program, disposing of assets, or settling liabilities attributable to a discontinued operation or major program, or entering into binding agreements to sell such assets or settle such liabilities ~~(guidance on the treatment and disclosure of discontinued operations can be found in the relevant international or national accounting standard dealing with discontinued operations);~~

#### **Effective Date**

...

- 33B. Paragraph 31 was amended by *Improvements to IPSASs 2015*, issued in Month 2016. An entity shall apply that amendment for annual financial statements covering periods beginning on or after MM DD, YYYY. Earlier application is encouraged. If an entity applies the amendment for a period beginning before MM DD, YYYY, it shall disclose that fact.

#### **Basis for Conclusions**

...

#### **Revision of IPSAS 14 as a result of Part II of *Improvements to IPSASs 2015*: issues raised by stakeholders**

- BC8. Stakeholders indicated that IPSASs treated non-current assets held for sale and disposal groups inconsistently. The IPSASB agreed to remove references in other IPSASs to IFRS 5, *Non-current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations*, and to “the relevant international or national accounting standard dealing with assets held for sale and discontinued operations” as the IPSASB considered that the requirements in IFRS 5 in respect of non-current assets held for sale do not reflect public sector circumstances. The IPSASB also agreed to remove the relevant reference in this standard for the avoidance of doubt regarding the applicability of IFRS 5 to the public sector.

## Amendment: Part II-1b

### Amendments to IPSAS 19, Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets

Paragraph 6 is amended and paragraph 112B is added as follows. New text is underlined and deleted text is struck through.

#### Scope

6. This Standard applies to provisions for restructuring (including operations being discontinued operations). ~~In some cases, a restructuring may meet the definition of a discontinued operation. An entity shall disclose information that enables users of its financial statements to evaluate the financial effects of a restructuring. Guidance on disclosing information about discontinued operations can be found in IFRS 5, *Non-current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations*.~~

#### Effective Date

...

112B. Paragraph 6 was amended by *Improvements to IPSASs 2015*, issued in Month 2016. An entity shall apply that amendment for annual financial statements covering periods beginning on or after MM DD, YYYY. Earlier application is encouraged. If an entity applies the amendment for a period beginning before MM DD, YYYY, it shall disclose that fact.

#### Basis for Conclusions

...

#### Revision of IPSAS 19 as a result of Part II of *Improvements to IPSASs 2015*: issues raised by stakeholders

- BC1. Stakeholders indicated that IPSASs treated non-current assets held for sale and disposal groups inconsistently. The IPSASB agreed to remove references in other IPSASs to IFRS 5, *Non-current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations*, and to “the relevant international or national accounting standard dealing with assets held for sale and discontinued operations” as the IPSASB considered that the requirements in IFRS 5 in respect of non-current assets held for sale do not reflect public sector circumstances. The IPSASB also agreed to replace the reference to IFRS 5 in this standard with a separate disclosure requirement for the avoidance of doubt regarding the applicability of IFRS 5 to the public sector.

## Amendment: Part II-1c

### Amendments to IPSAS 26, *Impairment of Cash-Generating Assets*

Paragraphs 2 and 8 are amended and paragraph 126E is added as follows. New text is underlined and deleted text is struck through.

#### Scope

2. An entity that prepares and presents financial statements under the accrual basis of accounting shall apply this Standard in accounting for the impairment of cash-generating assets, except for:

...

- (k) Deferred acquisition costs, and intangible assets, arising from an insurer's contractual rights under insurance contracts within the scope of the relevant international or national accounting standard dealing with insurance contracts; and

- ~~(l) Non-current assets (or disposal groups) classified as held for sale that are measured at the lower of carrying amount and fair value, less costs to sell, in accordance with the relevant international or national accounting standard dealing with non-current assets held for sale and discontinued operations; and~~

...

8. This Standard does not apply to inventories and cash-generating assets arising from construction contracts, because existing standards applicable to these assets contain requirements for recognizing and measuring such assets. This Standard does not apply to deferred tax assets, assets related to employee benefits, or deferred acquisition costs and intangible assets arising from an insurer's contractual rights under insurance contracts. The impairment of such assets is addressed in the relevant international or national accounting standards. In addition, this Standard does not apply to ~~(a) biological assets related to agricultural activity that are measured at fair value less costs to sell, and (b) non-current assets (or disposal groups) classified as held for sale that are measured at the lower of carrying amount and fair value less costs to sell.~~ IPSAS 27 dealing with biological assets related to agricultural activity, and the relevant international or national accounting standards dealing with non-current assets (or disposal groups) classified as held for sale, contains measurement requirements.

#### Effective Date

...

126E. Paragraphs 2 and 8 were amended by *Improvements to IPSASs 2015*, issued in Month 2016. An entity shall apply those amendments for annual financial statements covering periods beginning on or after MM DD, YYYY. Earlier application is encouraged. If an entity applies the amendments for a period beginning before MM DD, YYYY, it shall disclose that fact.

#### Basis for Conclusions

...

**Revision of IPSAS 26 as a result of Part II of *Improvements to IPSASs 2015*: issues raised by stakeholders**

BC19. Stakeholders indicated that IPSASs treated non-current assets held for sale and disposal groups inconsistently. The IPSASB concluded that IFRS 5, *Non-current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations*, was not appropriate for the public sector, for the following reasons:

- (a) Sales of assets in the public sector may not be completed within one year because of the levels of approval required. This raises questions about the relevance and consistency of information provided in accordance with IFRS 5.
- (b) Many assets in the public sector are disposed of through a transfer or distribution for no or nominal consideration. As IFRS 5 deals with sales at fair value, the disclosure requirements may not provide relevant information for these transfers.

Consequently, the IPSASB agreed to remove references in IPSAS to international or national accounting standards dealing with non-current assets held for sale and discontinued operations.

## Amendment: Part II-1d

### Amendments to IPSAS 27, *Agriculture*

Paragraphs 34 and 48 are amended and paragraph 57B is added as follows. New text is underlined and deleted text is struck through.

#### Inability to Measure Fair Value Reliably

34. There is a presumption that fair value can be measured reliably for a biological asset. However, that presumption can be rebutted only on initial recognition for a biological asset for which market-determined prices or values are not available, and for which alternative estimates of fair value are determined to be clearly unreliable. In such a case, that biological asset shall be measured at its cost less any accumulated depreciation and any accumulated impairment losses. Once the fair value of such a biological asset becomes reliably measurable, an entity shall measure it at its fair value less costs to sell. ~~Once a non-current biological asset meets the criteria to be classified as held for sale (or is included in a disposal group that is classified as held for sale) in accordance with the relevant international or national accounting standard dealing with non-current assets held for sale and discontinued operations, it is presumed that fair value can be measured reliably.~~

#### Disclosure

##### General

...

48. An entity shall present a reconciliation of changes in the carrying amount of biological assets between the beginning and the end of the current period. The reconciliation shall include:

...

- (d) ~~Decreases attributable to sales and biological assets classified as held for sale (or included in a disposal group that is classified as held for sale) in accordance with the relevant international or national standard dealing with non-current assets held for sale and discontinued operations;~~

...

#### Effective Date

...

- 57B. Paragraphs 34 and 48 were amended by *Improvements to IPSASs 2015*, issued in Month 2016. An entity shall apply those amendments for annual financial statements covering periods beginning on or after MM DD, YYYY. Earlier application is encouraged. If an entity applies the amendments for a period beginning before MM DD, YYYY, it shall disclose that fact.

## Basis for Conclusions

...

### **Revision of IPSAS 27 as a result of Part II of *Improvements to IPSASs 2015*: issues raised by stakeholders**

BC15. Stakeholders indicated that IPSASs treated non-current assets held for sale and disposal groups inconsistently. The IPSASB concluded that IFRS 5, *Non-current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations*, was not appropriate for the public sector, for the following reasons:

- (a) Sales of assets in the public sector may not be completed within one year because of the levels of approval required. This raises questions about the relevance and consistency of information provided in accordance with IFRS 5.
- (b) Many assets in the public sector are disposed of through a transfer or distribution for no or nominal consideration. As IFRS 5 deals with sales at fair value, the disclosure requirements may not provide relevant information for these transfers.

Consequently, the IPSASB agreed to remove references in IPSAS to international or national accounting standards dealing with non-current assets held for sale and discontinued operations.

## **Amendment: Part II-1e**

### **Amendments to IPSAS 31, *Intangible Assets***

Paragraphs 3, 96, 116 and 117 are amended and paragraph 133D is added as follows. New text is underlined and deleted text is struck through.

#### **Scope**

...

3 This Standard shall be applied in accounting for intangible assets, except:

...

- (i) Deferred acquisition costs, and intangible assets, arising from an insurer's contractual rights under insurance contracts within the scope of the relevant international or national accounting standard dealing with insurance contracts. In cases where the relevant international or national accounting standard does not set out specific disclosure requirements for those intangible assets, the disclosure requirements in this Standard apply to those intangible assets; and
- ~~(j) Non-current intangible assets classified as held for sale (or included in a disposal group that is classified as held for sale) in accordance with the relevant international or national accounting standard dealing with non-current assets held for sale and discontinued operations; and~~

#### **Intangible Assets with Finite Useful Lives**

##### **Amortization Period and Amortization Method**

96. The depreciable amount of an intangible asset with a finite useful life shall be allocated on a systematic basis over its useful life. Amortization shall begin when the asset is available for use, i.e., when it is in the location and condition necessary for it to be capable of operating in the manner intended by management. Amortization shall cease ~~at the earlier of the date that the asset is classified as held for sale (or included in a disposal group that is classified as held for sale) in accordance with the relevant international or national accounting standard dealing with non-current assets held for sale and discontinued operations and~~ the date that the asset is derecognized. The amortization method used shall reflect the pattern in which the asset's future economic benefits or service potential are expected to be consumed by the entity. If that pattern cannot be determined reliably, the straight-line method shall be used. The amortization charge for each period shall be recognized in surplus or deficit unless this or another Standard permits or requires it to be included in the carrying amount of another asset.

#### **Retirements and Disposals**

...

116. Amortization of an intangible asset with a finite useful life does not cease when the intangible asset is no longer used, unless the asset has been fully depreciated, ~~or is classified as held for sale (or included in a disposal group that is classified as held for sale) in accordance with the relevant international or national accounting standard dealing with non-current assets held for sale and discontinued operations.~~



## Disclosure

### General

117. An entity shall disclose the following for each class of intangible assets, distinguishing between internally generated intangible assets and other intangible assets:

...

- (e) A reconciliation of the carrying amount at the beginning and end of the period showing:
  - (i) Additions, indicating separately those from internal development and those acquired separately;
  - (ii) ~~Assets classified as held for sale or included in a disposal group classified as held for sale in accordance with the relevant international or national accounting standard dealing with non-current assets held for sale and discontinued operations and other disposals;~~

### Effective Date

...

133D. Paragraphs 3, 96, 116 and 117 were amended by *Improvements to IPSASs 2015*, issued in Month 2016. An entity shall apply those amendments for annual financial statements covering periods beginning on or after MM DD, YYYY. Earlier application is encouraged. If an entity applies the amendments for a period beginning before MM DD, YYYY, it shall disclose that fact.

### Basis for Conclusions

...

#### Revision of IPSAS 31 as a result of Part II of *Improvements to IPSASs 2015*: issues raised by stakeholders

BC11. Stakeholders indicated that IPSASs treated non-current assets held for sale and disposal groups inconsistently. The IPSASB concluded that IFRS 5, *Non-current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations*, was not appropriate for the public sector, for the following reasons:

- (a) Sales of assets in the public sector may not be completed within one year because of the levels of approval required. This raises questions about the relevance and consistency of information provided in accordance with IFRS 5.
- (b) Many assets in the public sector are disposed of through a transfer or distribution for no or nominal consideration. As IFRS 5 deals with sales at fair value, the disclosure requirements may not provide relevant information for these transfers.

Consequently, the IPSASB agreed to remove references in IPSAS to international or national accounting standards dealing with non-current assets held for sale and discontinued operations.

## Amendment: Part II-2

### Amendments to IPSAS 32, *Service Concession Arrangements*

Paragraphs 13, 32, 33 and AG35 are amended and paragraphs 35A, 35B and 37B are added as follows. New text is underlined and deleted text is struck through.

#### Recognition and Measurement of a Service Concession Asset (see paragraphs AG5–AG35)

...

13. After initial recognition or reclassification, service concession assets shall be accounted for ~~as a separate class of assets~~ in accordance with IPSAS 17 or IPSAS 31, as appropriate.

#### Presentation and Disclosure (see paragraphs AG65–AG67)

...

32. All aspects of a service concession arrangement shall be considered in determining the appropriate disclosures in the notes. A grantor shall disclose the following information in respect of service concession arrangements in each reporting period:

...

- (c) The nature and extent (e.g., quantity, time period, or amount, as appropriate) of:
  - (i) Rights to use specified assets;
  - (ii) Rights to expect the operator to provide specified services in relation to the service concession arrangement;
  - iii) The carrying amount of service concession assets recognized as assets at the end of the reporting period, including existing assets of the grantor reclassified as service concession assets;

...

33. The disclosures required in accordance with paragraph 32 are provided individually for each material service concession arrangement or in aggregate for each ~~class~~ group of service concession arrangements. A ~~class~~ group is a grouping of service concession arrangements involving services of a similar nature (e.g., toll collections, telecommunications or water treatment services). This disclosure by ~~class~~ group of service concession ~~arrangement asset~~ is in addition to the disclosures required in IPSAS 17 and/or IPSAS 31 that required in paragraph 13 by class of asset. Service concession assets within a group of service concession arrangements may form a subset of a class of assets disclosed in accordance with IPSAS 17 and/or IPSAS 31 or may be included in more than one class of assets disclosed in accordance with IPSAS 17 and/or IPSAS 31. For example, for the purposes of IPSAS 17 paragraph 13 a toll bridge may be grouped with included in the same class as other bridges. For the purposes of this paragraph, the toll bridge may be grouped with included in the same group of service concession assets as toll roads.

## Transition (see paragraphs AG68–AG73)

...

35A. Paragraphs 13, 32, 33 and AG35 were amended by *Improvements to IPSASs 2015* issued in [Month] 2016. An entity that has previously applied IPSAS 32 shall reassess the classification of service concession assets in accordance with paragraph 13. The entity shall present service concession assets in the revised classification retrospectively in accordance with IPSAS 3, *Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors*.

35B. Where service concessions assets are reclassified in accordance with paragraph 35A, an entity shall account for the service concession assets as follows:

- (a) If the service concession assets have previously been measured using the cost model, and the class of assets to which those service concession assets have been reclassified is measured using the cost model, the entity shall continue to apply the cost model. The entity shall carry forward the cost of the service concession assets, along with any accumulated depreciation or amortization and any accumulated impairment losses.
- (b) If the service concession assets have previously been measured using the cost model, and the class of assets to which those service concession assets have been reclassified is measured using the revaluation model, the entity shall either:
  - (i) Revalue the service concession assets; or
  - (ii) Retrospectively apply the cost model to the remaining assets in the class of asset to which those service concession assets have been reclassified. Where information regarding the cost of the assets is not available, the entity may use the carrying amount of the assets as the deemed cost.
- (c) If the service concession assets have previously been measured using the revaluation model, and the class of assets to which those service concession assets have been reclassified is measured using the cost model, the entity shall either:
  - (i) Retrospectively apply the cost model to the service concession assets. Where information regarding the cost of the assets is not available, the entity may use the carrying amount of the service concession assets as the deemed cost; or
  - (ii) Revalue the remaining assets in the class of asset to which those service concession assets have been reclassified.
- (d) If the service concession assets have previously been measured using the revaluation model, and the class of assets to which those service concession assets have been reclassified is measured using the revaluation model, the entity shall adjust the revaluation surplus in respect of each class of asset. Where previous revaluation decreases have been recognized in respect of either a service concession asset or one or more assets in the class to which the service concession asset is transferred, the entity shall consider whether transfers between revaluation surplus and accumulated surpluses or deficits are required.

## Effective Date

...

37B. Paragraphs 13, 32, 33 and AG35 were amended and paragraphs 35A and 35B added by *Improvements to IPSASs 2015* issued in Month 2016. An entity shall apply those amendments for

annual financial statements covering periods beginning on or after MM DD, YYYY. Earlier application is encouraged. If an entity applies the amendments for a period beginning before MM DD, YYYY it shall disclose that fact.

## Application Guidance

*This Appendix is an integral part of IPSAS 32.*

...

### *Subsequent Measurement*

AG35. After initial recognition, a grantor applies IPSAS 17 and IPSAS 31 to the subsequent measurement and derecognition of a service concession asset. ~~For the purposes of applying IPSAS 17 and IPSAS 31, service concession assets should be treated as a separate class of assets.~~ IPSAS 21 and IPSAS 26 are also applied in considering whether there is any indication that a service concession asset is impaired. These requirements in these Standards are applied to all assets recognized or classified as service concession assets in accordance with this Standard.

## Basis for Conclusions

...

### **Revision of IPSAS 32 as a result of Part II of *Improvements to IPSASs 2015*: issues raised by stakeholders**

BC49. The IPSASB had its attention drawn to a possible conflict between the requirements in IPSAS 32 and the requirements in IPSAS 17 and IPSAS 31. The requirements in IPSAS 32 could be seen as requiring service concession assets to be presented as a single class of assets, even if they were of a dissimilar nature and function. As it is not the intention of the IPSASB to require that dissimilar assets be reported as if they were similar, the IPSASB decided to propose clarifications to IPSAS 32 to make its intentions clear. The IPSASB considered whether these changes would reduce the information available to users, but is satisfied that the current disclosure requirements, in particular those in paragraph 32, ensure high quality disclosures about assets subject to service concession arrangements.

BC50. The IPSASB noted that the reclassification of service concessions assets could require a change in measurement basis for some entities. For example, some service concession assets measured using the revaluation model, might be reclassified into a class of assets measured using the cost model. Equally, some service concession assets measured using the cost model, might be reclassified into a class of assets measured using the revaluation model. Because the balance between the service concession assets and the other assets in a class will vary from entity to entity, the IPSASB agreed to permit entities to select the measurement basis to be applied at the point of reclassification. The IPSASB also noted that the information required to retrospectively apply the cost model might not be readily available. Consequently, the IPSASB agreed to permit entities to use the carrying amounts determined under the revaluation model as deemed cost at the point of reclassification where an entity elects to measure a class of assets using the cost model.

## PART III: GOVERNMENT FINANCE STATISTICS IMPROVEMENTS TO IPSASS

### Objective

7. The objective of Part III of the Exposure Draft is to propose improvements to IPSASs in order to address issues raised by an IPSAB member.

### Request for Comments

8. The IPSASB would welcome comments on all the changes proposed in the Exposure Draft. Comments are most helpful if they indicate the specific paragraph or group of paragraphs to which they relate, contain a clear rationale and, where applicable, provide a suggestion for alternative wording.

### IPSASs Addressed

9. The Improvements project deals with non-substantive changes to IPSAS through a collection of amendments which are unrelated. Amendments included in Part III arise from comments received from an IPSASB member.

### Improvements to IPSASs

<b><i>IPSAS Standard</i></b>	<b><i>Summary of Proposed Change</i></b>
IPSAS 12, <i>Inventories</i>	Amendments to replace the term “ammunition” with Government Finance Statistics term “military inventories” and include a description.
IPSAS 17, <i>Property, Plant and Equipment</i>	Amendments to replace the term “specialist military equipment” with Government Finance Statistics term “weapons systems” and include a description.

## **Amendment: Part III-1**

### **Amendments to IPSAS 12, *Inventories***

Paragraph 12(a) is amended and paragraphs 14A and 51B are added as follows. New text is underlined and deleted text is struck through.

#### **Inventories**

...

12. Inventories in the public sector may include:

(a) ~~Ammunition~~ Military inventories;

...

14A. Military inventories consist of single-use items, such as ammunition, missiles, rockets, bombs, etc., delivered by weapons or weapons systems. However, some types of missiles with highly destructive capability may be treated according to IPSAS 17, *Property, Plant and Equipment*.

#### **Effective Date**

...

51B. Paragraph 12 was amended and paragraph 14A was added by *Improvements to IPSASs 2015*, issued in Month 2016. An entity shall apply that amendment for annual financial statements covering periods beginning on or after MM DD, YYYY. Earlier application is encouraged. If an entity applies the amendment for a period beginning before MM DD, YYYY, it shall disclose that fact.

#### **Basis for Conclusions**

...

#### **Revision of IPSAS 12 as a result of Part III of *Improvements to IPSASs 2015*: issues raised by stakeholders**

BC7. An IPSASB member indicated that Government Finance Statistics (GFS) reporting guidelines use the term “military inventories” to comprise a broader applicability to all single-use items, including ammunition. The IPSASB concluded that replacing the IPSASs term “ammunition” with the GFS term “military inventories” and including a description will clarify the types of military assets that are to be classified as inventories, while increasing consistency with GFS reporting guidelines.

## Amendment: Part III-2

### Amendments to IPSAS 17, Property, Plant and Equipment

Paragraphs 5(a), 20 and 52(h) are amended and paragraph 107F is added as follows. New text is underlined and deleted text is struck through.

#### Scope

5. This Standard applies to property, plant, and equipment including:

- (a) ~~Specialist military equipment~~ Weapons systems;

...

20. ~~Specialist military equipment~~ Weapons systems will normally meet the definition of property, plant and equipment, and should be recognized as an asset in accordance with this Standard. Weapons systems include vehicles and other equipment, such as warships, submarines, military aircraft, tanks, missile carriers and launchers, etc, that are used continuously in the provision of defense services, even if their peacetime use is simply to provide deterrence. However, some single-use items, such as certain types of ballistic missiles with a highly destructive capability, may provide an ongoing service of deterrence against aggressors and, therefore, can be classified as weapons systems.

#### Revaluation Model

...

52. A class of property, plant, and equipment is a grouping of assets of a similar nature or function in an entity's operations. The following are examples of separate classes:

...

- (h) ~~Specialist military equipment~~ Weapons systems;

...

#### Effective Date

...

107F. Paragraphs 5(a), 20 and 52(h) were amended by *Improvements to IPSASs 2015*, issued in Month 2016. An entity shall apply that amendment for annual financial statements covering periods beginning on or after MM DD, YYYY. Earlier application is encouraged. If an entity applies the amendment for a period beginning before MM DD, YYYY, it shall disclose that fact.

#### Basis for Conclusions

...

#### Revision of IPSAS 17 as a result of Part III of *Improvements to IPSASs 2015*: issues raised by stakeholders

BC9. An IPSASB member indicated that Government Finance Statistics (GFS) reporting guidelines use the term "weapons systems" to comprise items that are used continuously in the provision of defense services, even if their peacetime use is simply to provide deterrence. The IPSASB concluded that replacing the IPSASs term "specialist military equipment" with the GFS term

“weapons systems” and including a description would clarify the applicability of IPSAS 17, *Property, Plant and Equipment*, while increasing consistency with GFS reporting guidelines.



## PART IV: IASB IMPROVEMENTS TO IPSASS

### Objective

10. The objective of Part IV of the Exposure Draft is to propose Improvements to IPSASs in order to converge with amendments to International Financial Reporting Standards based on the IASB's *Improvements to IFRSs* projects and *Narrow Scope Amendments* projects.

### Request for Comments

11. The IPSASB would welcome comments on all the changes proposed in the Exposure Draft. Comments are most helpful if they indicate the specific paragraph or group of paragraphs to which they relate, contain a clear rationale and, where applicable, provide a suggestion for alternative wording.

### IPSASs Addressed

12. The Improvements project deals with non-substantive changes to IPSAS through a collection of amendments which are unrelated. Amendments included in Part IV arise through consideration of the annual improvements and narrow scope amendments projects of the IASB.

#### The amendments proposed in part IV are from the following IASB amendments:

- a. *Agriculture: Bearer Plants* (Amendments to IAS 16 and IAS 14) issued in June 2014 by the IASB.

<b><i>IPSAS Standard</i></b>	<b><i>Summary of Proposed Change</i></b>
IPSAS 17, <i>Property, Plant, and Equipment</i> and IPSAS 27, <i>Agriculture</i>	Amendments to define a bearer plant and include bearer plants within the scope of IPSAS 17. A bearer plant is defined as a living plant that is used in the production or supply of agricultural produce, is expected to bear produce for more than one period and has a remote likelihood of being sold as agriculture produce, except for incidental scrap sales. Previously, bearer plants were not defined and bearer plants related to agriculture were included within the scope of IPSAS 27. Bearer plants meet the definition of property, plant, and equipment in IPSAS 17 and their operation is similar to that of manufacturing. Accordingly, the amendments require bearer plants to be included within the scope of IPSAS 17, instead of IPSAS 27. The produce growing on bearer plants will remain within the scope of IPSAS 27.

## Amendment–Part IV-1a

### Amendments to IPSAS 17, *Property, Plant, and Equipment*

Paragraphs 6, 13, and 52 are amended and paragraphs 36A, 107G, 107H and 107I are added as follows. New text is underlined and deleted text is struck through.

#### Scope

...

6. This Standard does not apply to:

- (a) Biological assets related to agricultural activity other than bearer plants (see IPSAS 27, *Agriculture*). This Standard applies to bearer plants but is does not apply to the produce on bearer plants;~~or~~
- (b) Mineral rights and mineral reserves such as oil, natural gas, and similar non-regenerative resources (see the relevant international or national accounting standard dealing with mineral rights, mineral reserves, and similar non-regenerative resources).

However, this Standard applies to property, plant, and equipment used to develop or maintain the assets described in 6(a) or 6(b).

#### Definitions

13. The following terms are used in this Standard with the meanings specified:

A bearer plant is a living plant that:

- (a) Is used in the production or supply of agricultural produce:
- (b) Is expected to bear produce for more than on period: and
- (c) Has a remote likelihood of being sold as agricultural produce, except for incidental scrap sales.

(Paragraphs 9A–9C of IPSAS 27 elaborate on this definition of a bearer plant.)

#### Elements of cost

...

36A. Bearer plants are accounted for in the same way as self-constructed items of property, plant, and equipment before they are in the location and condition necessary to be capable of operating in the manner intended by management. Consequently, references to 'construction' in this Standard should be read as covering activities that are necessary to cultivate bearer plants before they are in the location and condition necessary to be capable of operating in the manner intended by management.

#### Revaluation Model

...

52. A class of property, plant, and equipment is a grouping of assets of a similar nature or function in an entity's operations. The following are examples of separate classes:

- (a) ...
- (k) Office equipment; ~~and~~

- (l) Oil rigs; and
- (m) Bearer plants.

## Effective Date

...

107G. Paragraphs 6, 13 and 52 were amended and paragraphs 36A and 107G, 107H and 107I added by Improvements to IPSASs 2015 issued in Month 2016. An entity shall apply those amendments prospectively for annual financial statements covering periods beginning on or after MM DD, YYYY. Earlier application is encouraged. If an entity applies the amendments for a period beginning before MM DD, YYYY, it shall disclose that fact. An entity shall apply those amendments retrospectively, in accordance with IPSAS 3, *Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors*, except as specified in paragraph 107G.

107H. In the reporting period when the amendments to IPSAS 17 and IPSAS 27 from part IV of *Improvements to IPSAS 2015* is first applied an entity need not disclose the quantitative information required by paragraph 33(f) of IPSAS 3 for the current period. However, an entity shall present the quantitative information required by paragraph 33(f) of IPSAS 3 for each prior period presented.

107I. An entity may elect to measure an item of bearer plants at its fair value at the beginning of the earliest period presented in the financial statements for the reporting period in which the entity first applies the amendments to IPSAS 17 and IPSAS 27 from part IV of *Improvements to IPSASs 2015* and use that fair value as its deemed cost at that date. Any differences between the previous carrying amount and fair value shall be recognized in opening accumulated surpluses/deficits at the beginning of the earliest period presented.

## Basis for Conclusions

...

### **Revision of IPSAS 17 as a result of IASB's *Narrow Scope Amendments* issued in June 2014**

BC10. The IPSASB reviewed the revisions to IAS 16 included in the narrow scope amendments titled *Agriculture: Bearer Plants* issued by the IASB in June 2014 and generally concurred that there was no public sector specific reason for not adopting the amendments.

## Amendment: Part IV-1b

### Amendments to IPSAS 27, *Agriculture*

Paragraphs 2, 3, 5, 6, 9, 28 and 40 are amended and paragraphs 9A, 9B, 9C, 56C and 56D are added as follows. New text is underlined and deleted text is struck through.

#### Scope

2. **An entity that prepares and presents financial statements under the accrual basis of accounting shall apply this Standard for the following when they relate to agricultural activity:**
  - (a) **Biological assets, except for bearer plants; and**
  - (b) **Agricultural produce at the point of harvest.**
3. This Standard does not apply to:
  - (a) Land related to agricultural activity (see IPSAS 16, *Investment Property* and IPSAS 17, *Property, Plant, and Equipment*);
  - (b) Bearer plants related to agricultural activity (see IPSAS 17). However, this Standard applies to the produce on those bearer plants.
  - ~~(b)~~(c) Intangible assets related to agricultural activity (see IPSAS 31, *Intangible Assets*); and
  - ~~(c)~~(d) Biological assets held for the provision or supply of services.
5. This Standard is applied to agricultural produce, which is the harvested ~~product~~ produce of the entity's biological assets, ~~only~~ at the point of harvest. Thereafter, IPSAS 12, or another applicable Standard, is applied. Accordingly, this Standard does not deal with the processing of agricultural produce after harvest; for example, the processing of grapes into wine by a vintner who has grown the grapes. While such processing may be a logical and natural extension of agricultural activity, and the events taking place may bear some similarity to biological transformation, such processing is not included within the definition of agricultural activity in this Standard.
6. The table below provides examples of biological assets, agricultural produce, and products that are the result of processing after harvest:

Biological assets	Agricultural produce	Products that are the result of processing after harvest
Sheep	Wool	Yarn, carpet
Trees in a <u>timber plantation forest</u>	Felled trees	Logs, lumber
<del>Plants</del>	<del>Cotton</del>	<del>Thread, clothing</del>
	<del>Harvested cane</del>	<del>Sugar</del>
Dairy cattle	Milk	Cheese
Pigs	Carcass	Sausages, cured hams
<u>Cotton plants</u>	<u>Harvested cotton</u>	<u>Thread, clothing</u>
<u>Sugarcane</u>	<u>Harvested cane</u>	<u>Sugar</u>
<u>Tobacco plants Bushes</u>	<u>Picked leaves Leaf</u>	<u>Tea, Cured tobacco</u>
<u>Tea bushes</u>	<u>Picked leaves</u>	<u>Tea</u>
<u>Grape Vvines</u>	<u>Picked Ggrapes</u>	Wine
Fruit trees	Picked fruit	Processed fruit
<u>Oil Palms</u>	<u>Picked fruit</u>	<u>Palm Oil</u>
<u>Rubber trees</u>	<u>Harvested latex</u>	<u>Rubber products</u>
Some plants, for example, tea bushes, grape vines, oil palms and rubber trees, usually meet the definition of a bearer plant are within the scope of IPSAS 17. However, the produce growing on bearer plants, for example, tea leaves, grapes, oil palm fruit and latex, is within the scope of IPSAS 27.		

## Definitions

### Agriculture-related Definitions

9. The following terms are used in this Standard with the meanings specified:

...

***Agricultural produce*** is the harvested ~~product~~ produce of the entity's biological assets.

***A bearer plant*** is a living plant that:

- (a) Is used in the production and supply of agricultural produce;**
- (b) Is expected to bear produce for more than one period; and**
- (c) Has a remote likelihood of being sold as agricultural produce, except for incidental scrap sales.**

...

9A. The following are not bearer plants:

- (a) Plants cultivated to be harvested as agricultural produce (for example, trees grown for use as lumber);
- (b) Plants cultivated to produce agricultural produce when there is more than a remote likelihood that the entity will also harvest and sell the plant as agricultural produce, other than as incidental scrap sales (for example, trees that are cultivated for their fruit and their lumber); and
- (c) Annual crops (for example, maize and wheat).

9B. When bearer plants are no longer used to bear produce they might be cut down and sold as scrap, for example, for use as firewood. Such incidental scrap sales would not prevent the plant from satisfying the definition of a bearer plant.

9C. Produce growing on bearer plants is a biological asset.

...

## Recognition and Measurement

...

28. Cost may sometimes approximate fair value, particularly when:

- (a) Little biological transformation has taken place since initial cost incurrence (for example, for ~~fruit tree~~ seedlings planted immediately prior to reporting date or newly acquired livestock); or
- (b) The impact of the biological transformation on price is not expected to be material (for example, for the initial growth in a 30-year pine plantation production cycle).

## Disclosure

### General

...

40. Consumable biological assets are those that are held for harvest as agricultural produce or for sale or distribution at no charge or for a nominal charge as biological assets. Examples of consumable biological assets are animals and plants for one-time use, such as livestock intended for the production of meat, livestock held for sale, fish in farms, crops such as maize and wheat, produce on a bearer plant and trees being grown for lumber. Bearer biological assets are those biological assets that are used repeatedly or continuously for more than one year in an agricultural activity. Bearer biological assets are not agricultural produce but, rather, are held to bear produce self-regenerating. Examples of types of animals that are bearer biological assets include breeding stocks (including fish and poultry), livestock from which milk is produced, and sheep or other animals used for wool production. Examples of types of plants that are bearer biological assets include trees from which fruit is harvested, vines and shrubs cultivated for the harvest of fruits, nuts, sap, resin, bark and leaf products ~~and trees from which firewood is harvested while the tree remains.~~

## Effective Date

...

- 56C. Paragraphs 2, 3, 5, 6, 9, 28 and 40 were amended and paragraphs 9A, 9B, 9C, 56C and 56D added by *Improvements to IPSASs 2015* issued in Month 2016. An entity shall apply those amendments prospectively for annual financial statements covering periods beginning on or after MM DD, YYYY.

Earlier application is encouraged. If an entity applies the amendments for a period beginning before MM DD, YYYY, it shall disclose that fact. An entity shall apply those amendments retrospectively, in accordance with IPSAS 3, *Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors*, except as specified in paragraph 107G.

56D. In the reporting period when the amendments to IPSAS 17 and IPSAS 27 from part IV of *Improvements to IPSAS 2015* is first applied an entity need not disclose the quantitative information required by paragraph 33(f) of IPSAS 3 for the current period. However, an entity shall present the quantitative information required by paragraph 33(f) of IPSAS 3 for each prior period presented.

#### **Revision of IPSAS 27 as a result of IASB's *Narrow Scope Amendments* issued in June 2014**

BC16. The IPSASB reviewed the revisions to IAS 41 included in the narrow scope amendments titled *Agriculture: Bearer Plants* issued by the IASB in June 2014 and generally concurred that there was no public sector specific reason for not adopting the amendments.

### **Amendments to Other IPSASs**

The following amendments to IPSAS 13 and IPSAS 16 are as a result of the amendments proposed to IPSAS 27.

#### **IPSAS 13, *Leases***

Paragraphs 2 is amended as follows:

##### **Scope**

2. ...

However, this Standard shall not be applied as the basis of measurement for:

- (a) ...
- (c) **Biological assets within the scope of IPSAS 27 held by lessees under finance leases (~~see IPSAS 27, *Agriculture*~~); or**
- (d) **Biological assets within the scope of IPSAS 27 provided by lessors under operating leases (~~see IPSAS 27~~).**

#### **IPSAS 16, *Investment Property***

Paragraphs 6 is amended as follows:

##### **Scope**

...

6. This Standard does not apply to:

- (a) Biological assets related to agricultural activity (see IPSAS 27, *Agriculture* and IPSAS 17, *Property, Plant, and Equipment*); and
- (b) ...

International Public Sector Accounting Standards™, Exposure Drafts, Consultation Papers, Recommended Practice Guidelines, and other IPSASB® publications are published by, and copyright of, IFAC®.

The IPSASB and IFAC do not accept responsibility for loss caused to any person who acts or refrains from acting in reliance on the material in this publication, whether such loss is caused by negligence or otherwise.

The IPSASB logo, 'International Public Sector Accounting Standards Board®', 'IPSASB', 'International Public Sector Accounting Standards' 'IPSAS™', the IFAC logo, 'International Federation of Accountants®', and 'IFAC' are trademarks or registered trademarks and service marks of IFAC.

Copyright © [Month and Year] by the International Federation of Accountants (IFAC). All rights reserved. Permission is granted to make copies of this work to achieve maximum exposure and feedback provided that each copy bears the following credit line: *"Copyright © [Month and Year] by the International Federation of Accountants (IFAC). All rights reserved. Used with permission of IFAC. Permission is granted to make copies of this work to achieve maximum exposure and feedback."*

Published by:





(Back cover)



**International Public  
Sector Accounting  
Standards Board®**

529 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10017  
T + 1 (212) 286-9344 F +1 (212) 286-9570  
[www.ipsasb.org](http://www.ipsasb.org)