

## SUMMARY OF IASB WORK PLAN AS AT 5 MAY 2010\*

Financial Crisis Related Projects	2	Rate-Regulated Activities	11
Consolidation	2	Conceptual Framework Project	12
Derecognition	2	Conceptual Framework	12
Fair Value Measurement Guidance	3	Research and Other Projects	13
IFRS 9: Financial Instruments (FI) (IAS 39 replacement)	3	Common Control Transactions	13
Memorandum of Understanding Projects	4	Earnings per Share	13
Financial Statement Presentation (FSP)	4	Government Grants	13
FI with Characteristics of Equity	5	Intangible Assets	14
Income Taxes	6	Recently Completed Projects	14
Joint Arrangements (formerly Joint Ventures)	6	Annual Improvements (AIP)—2008–2010	14
Leases	7	IFRS 1, “First-time Adoption of IFRSs” Amendments	15
Post-Employment Benefits (PEB)	7	Amendment to IFRIC 14, “Prepayments of a Minimum Funding Requirement”	15
Revenue Recognition	9	Related Party Disclosures	15
Other projects	9	Annual Improvements (AIP)—2009	16
Annual Improvements (AIP)—2009–2011	9	Annual Improvements (AIP)—2008	16
Emissions Trading Schemes	9	Credit Risk in Liability Measurement	16
Extractive Industries	10	Financial Instruments Amendments	17
Insurance Contracts	10	IFRS for SMEs	17
Liabilities (IAS 37 Amendments)	11		
Management Commentary	11		

Abbreviations			
CP	Consultation Paper	DP	Discussion Paper
ED	Exposure Draft	IFRS	International Financial Reporting Standard
IPSAS	International Public Sector Accounting Standard	RT	Roundtable

\* The IASB and the FASB issued a joint statement on their convergence work on 2 June 2010. They announced their intention to prioritize the major convergence projects. Therefore, the target completion dates for a few projects have extended into the second half of 2011. A revised work plan is expected to be released shortly.

Summary of Project Objective	Potential Impact on IPSASs	Current Progress and Likely Time Frame for Completion	Other Comments
<b>Financial Crisis Related Projects</b>			
<b>Consolidation</b>			
The objective is to publish a single IFRS on consolidation replacing IAS 27, “Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements” and SIC-12, “Consolidation – Special Purpose Entities.” The project addresses the following aspects:	Seems unlikely to change major principles but would mean that IPSAS 6, “Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements” would no longer be converged with up-to-date IFRS. If the proposed consequential amendments to IAS 28, “Investments in Associates” are approved, then IPSAS 7 will need to be revised.	An ED was issued in December 2008 for which the consultation period ended in March 2009. The IASB is currently re-deliberating a number of issues, including disclosures.	At the April 2010 meeting, the Board agreed that the revision of IPSAS 6 (and IPSAS 7, if necessary) will be incorporated into the entity combinations project. See AP 4.0 for further details.
<b>Replacement of IAS 27</b> A revision of the definition of control and related application guidance so that a control model can be applied to all entities.		An IFRS is expected Q4 2010.	
<b>Disclosures about unconsolidated SPEs/structures entities</b> Enhanced disclosures about consolidated and unconsolidated entities.		An IFRS is expected Q2 2010.	
<b>Derecognition</b>			
The project encompasses the requirements in IAS 39, “Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement” for when a financial asset or financial liability must be removed from an entity’s statement of financial position and the related derecognition disclosure requirements in IFRS 7,	Impact on the derecognition requirements of IPSAS 29 and the disclosure requirements of IPSAS 30.	An ED was issued in March 2009 with a consultation period that ended in July 2009. A further ED is expected Q2–Q3 2010. A final amendment to the derecognition requirements of IAS 39 is expected Q4 2010–Q1 2011.	

Summary of Project Objective	Potential Impact on IPSASs	Current Progress and Likely Time Frame for Completion	Other Comments
“Financial Instruments: Disclosures.”			
<b>Fair Value Measurement Guidance</b>			
<p>The Board’s objectives in the fair value measurement project are to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Establish a single source of guidance for all fair value measurements;</li> <li>• Clarify the definition of fair value and related guidance;</li> <li>• Enhance disclosures about fair value measurements; and</li> <li>• Increase convergence between IFRS and US GAAP.</li> </ul>	<p>Implications for a number of IPSASs, notably IPSAS 29, but not confined to IPSAS 29.</p> <p>Also important background for “Measurement” in the Conceptual Framework project.</p>	<p>An ED was issued in May 2009 with a consultation period that ended in September 2009. If adopted, the IFRS would replace fair value measurement requirements and guidance in specific standards. An IFRS is expected Q4 2010.</p>	<p>Fair value measurement from a public sector perspective has been suggested as a possible project for commencement in the 2010–2012 period.</p>
<b>IFRS 9: Financial Instruments (FI) (IAS 39 replacement)</b>			
<p>The objective of this project is to improve the decision-usefulness of financial statements for users by simplifying the classification and measurement requirements for financial instruments. The project will ultimately replace IAS 39, “Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement.”</p>	<p>Major impact on IPSASs 28–30.</p>	<p>The first phase culminated in the issuance of chapters of IFRS 9 dealing with classification and measurement of financial assets in November 2009. The scope of this first phase did not include financial liabilities, which is currently being developed and will be exposed as an additional chapter of IFRS 9.</p>	<p>At the April 2010 meeting, the Board agreed to defer proposing amendments to IPSASs 28–30 until after the IASB completes its project on IFRS 9, which is expected to be completed in H1, 2011.</p>
<p><b>FI – Classification and measurement – financial liabilities</b></p> <p>The objective is to replace the classification and measurement requirements in IAS 39 for financial liabilities.</p>	<p>Major impact on IPSAS 29.</p>	<p>An ED, “Fair Value Option for Financial Liabilities was issue in May 2010. Comments are due by 16 July 2010. An IFRS is expected in Q3–Q4 2010.</p>	

Summary of Project Objective	Potential Impact on IPSASs	Current Progress and Likely Time Frame for Completion	Other Comments
<b>FI – Impairment</b> This is the second phase of the project to replace IAS 39 with a new Standard (IFRS 9). It proposes adoption of the “expected loss model” rather than the “incurred loss model” that underpins the impairment approach in IAS 39.	Major implications for the impairment provisions in IPSAS 29.	An ED, “Financial Instruments: Amortised Cost and Impairment” was issued in November 2009. Comments are due by 30 June 2010. An IFRS (as a chapter of IFRS 9) is expected Q4 2010.	
<b>FI – Hedge Accounting</b> This is the third phase of the project to replace IAS 39 with a new Standard (IFRS 9). The aim is to simplify and replace the hedge accounting requirements of IAS 39. This includes considering: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Portfolio hedging;</li> <li>• Simplifying cash flow hedge accounting;</li> <li>• Implications on hedge accounting for net investments in a foreign operation.</li> </ul>	Implications for the hedge accounting requirements of IPSAS 29.	An ED is expected Q3 2010. An IFRS (as a chapter of IFRS 9) is expected Q4 2010–Q1 2011.	
<b>Memorandum of Understanding Projects</b>			
<b>Financial Statement Presentation (FSP)</b>			
<b>FSP – Discontinued operations</b> Limited scope project to amend IFRS 5, “Non-Current assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations.” The objective of this project is to develop a common definition of discontinued	None at present. The IPSASB has no equivalent of IFRS 5. The application of IFRS 5 to public sector entities was considered in the development of the “Strategy and Operational Plan 2007–2009” and	An ED was issued in September 2008 with a consultation period that ended in January 2009. Revised amendments are expected Q3–Q4 2010.	

Summary of Project Objective	Potential Impact on IPSASs	Current Progress and Likely Time Frame for Completion	Other Comments
operations and to require common disclosures related to disposals of components of an entity.	deferred.		
<b>FSP – Presentation of items of other comprehensive income</b> In October 2009 the IASB decided to consider as a separate matter the presentation of Other Comprehensive Income (OCI). The IASB decided to give more urgency to this matter because of the increasing importance of OCI to other projects, particularly those on financial instruments and on post-employment benefits, for which new components of OCI have been introduced or proposed.		An ED was issued in May 2010. Comments are due by 30 September 2010. An amendment to IAS 1 is expected Q3–Q4 2010.	
<b>FSP – Replacement of IAS 1 and IAS 7</b> The objective of this part of the FSP project is to develop a revised standard for financial statement presentation. In IFRSs, the new standard will replace IAS 1, “Presentation of Financial Statements” and IAS 7, “Statement of Cash Flows.”	IPSAS 1, “Presentation of Financial Statements” is based on the 2003 version of IAS 1. The IPSASB has not considered the notion of comprehensive income. This project is also highly relevant for the Presentation and Disclosure component of the Conceptual Framework project.	A DP was issued in October 2008 with a consultation period that ended in April 2009. An ED is expected Q2 2010. Roundtables are expected to be held Q3–Q4 2010. An IFRS is expected Q2 2011.	
FI with Characteristics of Equity			
The objective of this project is to improve and simplify the financial reporting requirements for financial instruments with characteristics of equity.	Implications for IPSAS 28, “Financial Instruments: Presentation.”	A DP was issued in February 2008 with a consultation period that expired in September 2008. An ED is expected Q2 2010. An IFRS (or an amendment of	At the April 2010 meeting, the Board agreed to defer proposing amendments to IPSASs 28–30 until after the IASB completes its project on IFRS 9, which is

Summary of Project Objective	Potential Impact on IPSASs	Current Progress and Likely Time Frame for Completion	Other Comments
Specifically, this project is intended to develop a better distinction between equity and non-equity instruments and converge with US GAAP in this area.		IAS 32) is expected Q1–Q2 2011.	expected to be completed in H1, 2011.
<b>Income Taxes</b>			
The objective is to clarify and improve IAS 12, “Income Taxes” and to reduce the differences between IAS 12 and US GAAP.	No direct impact. No IPSAS equivalent of IAS 12.	An ED was published by the IASB in March 2009. The consultation period ended on 31 July 2009.  The IASB, together with the FASB is considering undertaking a fundamental review of accounting for income taxes as some time in the future. In the meantime, the IASB will consider, in the first half of 2010, whether to propose more limited amendments. A revised ED is expected Q3–Q4 2010. An IFRS is expected Q1–Q2 2011.	
<b>Joint Arrangements (formerly Joint Ventures)</b>			
The objective is to improve accounting for what the new IFRS will define as joint arrangements. Joint arrangements include joint operations, joint assets and joint ventures.  The project focuses on two aspects of IAS 31 that the IASB considers are an impediment to high quality reporting of joint	Impact on IPSAS 8, “Interests in Joint Ventures.” The main issue appears to be whether there is a case for retention of both options currently available in IPSAS 8 (proportionate consolidation and equity method) or, alternatively, whether only the equity method will be required.	An ED was issued in September 2007. An IFRS is expected Q2 2010.	At the April 2010 meeting, the Board agreed that the revision of IPSAS 8 will be incorporated into the entity combinations project. See AP 4.0 for further details.

Summary of Project Objective	Potential Impact on IPSASs	Current Progress and Likely Time Frame for Completion	Other Comments
<p>arrangement activities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• IAS 31 uses the form of the arrangement as the primary determinant of the accounting, which does not always reflect the underlying rights and obligations agreed to by the parties.</li> <li>• IAS 31 gives an entity a choice of accounting treatment for interests in jointly controlled entities, which makes it difficult to compare financial reports.</li> </ul>			
<b>Leases</b>			
<p>The objective of the project is to develop a new single approach to lease accounting that would ensure that all assets and liabilities arising under lease contracts are recognized in the statement of financial position.</p> <p>The most significant impact will be to remove the distinction between finance leases and operating leases and the differing accounting consequences that arise from that distinction in IAS 17, "Leases."</p>	<p>Major impact on IPSAS 13, "Leases", which is primarily drawn from IAS 17.</p>	<p>A DP was jointly developed with FASB and issued in March 2009. The consultation period expired in July 2009. That paper focused on lessee accounting. A further ED is expected Q2 2010. Roundtables are expected to be held Q3–Q4 2010. An IFRS is expected Q2 2011.</p>	
<b>Post-Employment Benefits (PEB)</b>			
<p>The objective is to significantly improve pension accounting. The IASB intends to complete limited</p>			

Summary of Project Objective	Potential Impact on IPSASs	Current Progress and Likely Time Frame for Completion	Other Comments
<p>scope amendments to IAS 19 within four years, pending a fundamental review of all aspects of post-employment benefit accounting.</p> <p>As a result of the Board's review of comments received on the discussion paper, published in March 2008, and further input received from a broad range of stakeholders, the Board decided to work towards three separate exposure drafts as follows:</p>			
<p><b>PEB – Defined benefit plans</b></p> <p>An ED on the recognition and presentation of changes in the defined benefit obligation and in plan assets, disclosures, and other issues raised in the comment letters that can be addressed expeditiously.</p>	<p>Significant impact on parts of IPSAS 25, "Employee Benefits."</p>	<p>An ED was issued in April 2010. Comments are due by 6 September 2010. An IFRS is expected Q1 2011.</p>	
<p><b>PEB – Termination Benefits</b></p> <p>A limited scope project dealing with the accounting for termination benefits in IAS 19. The amendments will deal with the recognition of voluntary and involuntary termination benefits. It will also clarify that voluntary termination benefits do not relate to future services.</p>	<p>This project has direct implications for the section of IPSAS 25, "Employee Benefits" dealing with termination benefits.</p>	<p>In June 2005, the IASB published an ED of Amendments to IAS 19, dealing with the accounting for termination benefits, together with proposed amendments to IAS 37. In October 2009, the IASB tentatively decided that entities should apply the amendments for annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2011, with early adoption permitted.</p>	



Summary of Project Objective	Potential Impact on IPSASs	Current Progress and Likely Time Frame for Completion	Other Comments
		An amended IFRS is expected to be issued Q2 2010.	
<b>Revenue Recognition</b>			
<p>The objective of this project is to develop a new standard for revenue recognition that will apply to a range of industries. The new standard will replace the existing standards on revenue recognition, IAS 11, “Construction Contracts” and IAS 18, “Revenue.”</p> <p>The key principle is that an entity recognizes revenue when it satisfies its performance obligations in a contract by transferring goods and services to a customer.</p>	<p>Although the fundamental principles will probably not be altered, there are significant implications for IPSAS 9, “Revenue from Exchange Transactions” and IPSAS 11, “Construction Contracts”, which are primarily drawn from IAS 11 and IAS 18.</p>	<p>A DP was issued in December 2008. An ED is expected Q2 2010. Roundtables are expected to be held in Q3–Q4 2010. An IFRS is expected Q2 2011.</p>	
<b>Other projects</b>			
<b>Annual Improvements (AIP)—2009–2011</b>			
<p>Process to deal with minor amendments of both a substantive and editorial nature. Ongoing on an annual cycle.</p>	<p>Unknown impact at this time.</p>	<p>An ED is expected Q4 2010. An IFRS is expected Q2 2011.</p>	<p>The IPSASB will consider these amendments as part of its 2-yearly improvements project in 2013.</p>
<b>Emissions Trading Schemes</b>			
<p>The objective is to provide comprehensive guidance on accounting for the receipt of emission allowances. It addresses issues such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Whether emissions allowances are assets, and, if so, how they</li> </ul>	<p>There is no direct impact on IPSASs at present as the IPSASB has not initiated a project on emission trading schemes. Any such project would need to consider the grantor perspective rather than just the recipient perspective. Possible implications for IPSAS 31,</p>	<p>An ED is expected Q4 2010. An IFRS is expected H2 2011 or later.</p>	

Summary of Project Objective	Potential Impact on IPSASs	Current Progress and Likely Time Frame for Completion	Other Comments
<p>should be accounted for;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Accounting for entities that receive allowances free of charge, whether a liability exists, and, if so, how that liability should be recognized and measured.</li> </ul>	<p>“Intangible Assets” and/or IPSAS 28, “Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement.”</p>		
<b>Extractive Industries</b>			
<p>A research project that forms the first step towards the development of an approach to resolving accounting issues that are unique to upstream extractive activities. The ultimate objective of this project is to develop an IFRS on accounting for extractive activities that would supersede IFRS 6, “Exploration for and Evaluation of Mineral Resources”, issued in December 2004 as an interim measure pending completion of the comprehensive project.</p>	<p>None. No equivalent IPSAS to IFRS 6.</p>	<p>A working draft of a DP prepared by a number of National Standard Setters was made available on the IASB website in August 2009. The IASB issued a DP in April 2010. Comments are due by 30 July 2010.</p>	<p>The IPSASB attended a meeting of the IASB and NGO representatives in London in September 2008. The Staff will attend an IASB and Revenue Watch Institute Roundtable on June 25, 2010. It is possible that the IPSASB will be asked to carry out work on disclosures from a governmental perspective, if, and when, an IFRS is issued.</p>
<b>Insurance Contracts</b>			
<p>The overall aim of the project is to provide consistent and sound requirements for accounting for insurance contracts. The project has been conducted in 2 stages. Phase 1 culminated in the publication of IFRS 4, “Insurance Contracts” in 2004. Phase 2 will result in the replacement of IFRS 4.</p>	<p>There is no direct impact on IPSASs at present as the IPSASB does not have an IPSAS equivalent of IFRS 4. There are likely to be implications for the scope sections of IPSASs 28–30 when IFRS 4 is replaced.</p>	<p>An ED is expected Q2 2010. An IFRS is expected Q2 2011.</p>	<p>Insurance has not generally considered a high priority in IPSASB deliberations.</p>

Summary of Project Objective	Potential Impact on IPSASs	Current Progress and Likely Time Frame for Completion	Other Comments
<b>Liabilities (IAS 37 Amendments)</b>			
The objective is to revise the requirements in IAS 37, “Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets.” IAS 37 addresses the accounting for liabilities that are not within the scope of specific standards. The initial intention was to amend IAS 37, but it is now planned to issue a replacement Standard.	<p>There are fundamental implications for IPSAS 19, “Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets” and for future work on social benefit obligations and other areas where accounting for liabilities is not within the scope of a specific IPSASs. For example, the revised IFRS will remove the probability threshold that has been a key recognition feature of IAS 37 and is reflected in IPSAS 19 and make probability a measurement issue. It will also eliminate the notion of a contingent liability and provide guidance on distinguishing business risks and stand-ready obligations.</p> <p>There are also fundamental implications for the definition of a liability in the IPSASB Conceptual Framework project.</p>	The IASB published an ED of proposed amendments in November 2005 and followed this with Roundtables in 2006. Currently, the IASB is consulting on proposed measurement guidance, with a comment period to 12 April 2010. This comment period has been extended to 19 May 2010. The IASB has posted a working draft of the entire Standard on its website. An IFRS is expected Q3–Q4 2010.	A summary of tentative decisions is available on the IASB website that provides a useful indication of the issues that may impact on IPSAS 19.
<b>Management Commentary</b>			
The objective is to produce guidance on management commentary.	<p>This project has the potential to provide important background material for the IPSASB’s project on Narrative Reporting.</p> <p>It could also potentially affect the scope component of the IPSASB’s Conceptual Framework project.</p>	A DP was issued in November 2005. An ED was issued in June 2009 with a consultation period that ended in March 2010. Completed guidance is expected Q3–Q4 2010.	
<b>Rate-Regulated Activities</b>			
The objective is to develop a standard on rate-regulated activities that clarifies whether	There is no direct impact on current IPSASs. In some jurisdictions public sector entities which provide services	An ED was issued in August 2009 with a consultation period that ended in November 2009.	

Summary of Project Objective	Potential Impact on IPSASs	Current Progress and Likely Time Frame for Completion	Other Comments
regulated entities could or should recognize an asset or a liability as a result of rate regulation.	such as electricity may be within the scope of the requirements of the ED. There are also implications by analogy from a conceptual perspective for the public sector e.g., entities with deficits at the reporting date operating under balanced budget legislation.	An IFRS is expected Q2 2011–H2 2011.	
<b>Conceptual Framework Project</b>			
<b>Conceptual Framework</b>			
<p>To develop a Conceptual Framework. This will replace the IASB's current framework, which dates from 1989.</p> <p>The project's overall objective is to create a sound foundation for future accounting standards that are principles-based, internally consistent and internationally converged.</p>	<p>This project is monitored on behalf of the NSS by Senior Adviser Paul Sutcliffe, from a public sector perspective.</p> <p>The IPSASB has made it clear that it is developing its own Conceptual Framework, not an interpretation of the IASB-FASB framework. The IASB's line of travel is, however, of crucial importance in informing the IPSASB's approach.</p>	<p>The IASB is conducting the project in eight phases. Four of these phases have begun. The remaining four are not currently active.</p>	<p>The IPSASB's revised accelerated timetable will mean that it is likely to be in advance of IASB in a number of areas. See AP 2.0 for further details.</p>
<b>Phase A: Objectives and Qualitative Characteristics</b>		<p>A DP "Objectives of Financial Reporting and Qualitative Characteristics of, and Constraints on, Useful Financial Information" (Phase A) was issued in July 2006. An ED was issued in May 2008. A Final Chapter is expected Q2 2010.</p>	
<b>Phase B: Elements and Recognition</b>		<p>Timing to be determined.</p>	
<b>Phase C: Measurement</b>		<p>A DP is expected Q4 2010–Q1 2011.</p>	

Summary of Project Objective	Potential Impact on IPSASs	Current Progress and Likely Time Frame for Completion	Other Comments
<b>Phase D: Reporting Entity</b>		A DP was issued in May 2008. An ED was issued in March 2010. Comments are due by 16 July 2010. A Final Chapter is expected Q4 2010.	
<b>Research and Other Projects</b>			
<b>Common Control Transactions</b>			
Business combinations under common control are excluded from the scope of IFRS 3,” Business Combinations.” The project on common control transactions is examining the definition of common control and the methods of accounting for business combinations under common control in the acquirer’s consolidated and separate financial statements. The project also considers the accounting for demergers, such as the spin-off of a subsidiary or business.	Currently the IPSASB does not have an equivalent of IFRS 3. The IPSASB issued ED 41 in May 2009 which is primarily drawn from IFRS 3. At its April 2010 meeting, the IPSASB agreed not to continue with the development of ED 41 into an IPSAS. The IPSASB will consider issues relating to entity combinations at its June 2010 meeting.	The IASB’s project is currently deferred.	The IPSASB has a project on entity combinations which encompasses entity combinations under common control. This topic is being discussed at the June 2010 meeting. Thus, the relevance of the IASB’s project depends on the timing of its reactivation. See AP 4.1 for further details.
<b>Earnings per Share</b>			
The objective is to simplify and converge the calculation of earnings per share according to IAS 33, “Earnings per Share” and SFAS 128, “Earnings per Share”.	None. No equivalent IPSAS and no intention to develop one.	An ED was issued in August 2008. The IASB will resume consideration of the responses in H2 2010.	
<b>Government Grants</b>			
The objective is to update IAS 20, “Government Grants” to improve the information provided to users	The IPSASB does not have a Standard primarily drawn from IAS 20. Rather it has issued IPSAS 23, “Revenue	The IASB has deferred this project since 2006 and awaits progress on other projects such	

Summary of Project Objective	Potential Impact on IPSASs	Current Progress and Likely Time Frame for Completion	Other Comments
<p>of financial statements by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Eliminating inconsistencies with the Framework, in particular the recognition of a deferred credit when the entity has no liability; and</li> <li>Eliminating options that can reduce the comparability of financial statements and understate the assets controlled by an entity.</li> </ul>	<p>from Non-exchange Transactions (Taxes and Transfers)” which specifies the requirements relating to the recognition of revenue arising from government grants and liabilities related to stipulations attached to those grants.</p> <p>Any replacement of IAS 20 would not have direct implications, but might indicate that a review of the requirements of IPSAS 23 might be appropriate.</p>	<p>as Emissions Trading Schemes and Revenue Recognition.</p>	
<b>Intangible Assets</b>			
<p>The objective of the project is ultimately to provide requirements for the initial accounting for identifiable intangible assets other than those acquired in a business combination (with a particular focus on, but not limited to, internally-generated identifiable intangible assets); and the subsequent accounting for all identifiable intangible assets.</p>	<p>The replacement or amendment of IAS 38, “Intangible Assets” would have a potential impact on IPSAS 31, “Intangible Assets.”</p>	<p>Currently this project is still on the research agenda and is not an active project. The timing of any replacement, or amendment, of IAS 38 is uncertain and likely to be considered after 2012.</p>	<p>It is possible that this project will ultimately lead to a less restrictive approach to the recognition of intangible assets.</p>
<b>Recently Completed Projects</b>			
<b>Annual Improvements (AIP)—2008–2010</b>			
<p>Process to deal with minor amendments of both a substantive and editorial nature. Ongoing on an annual cycle.</p>	<p>This project has the potential to affect 2 IPSASs.</p>	<p>The IASB’s latest proposed Annual Improvements were exposed in August 2009. The IFRS was issued in May 2010.</p>	<p>The IPSASB will consider these amendments as part of its 2011 annual improvements project.</p>

Summary of Project Objective	Potential Impact on IPSASs	Current Progress and Likely Time Frame for Completion	Other Comments
<b>IFRS 1, “First-time Adoption of IFRSs” Amendments</b>			
<p>The objective of this project is to amend IFRS 1, “First-time Adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards” to address potential challenges for jurisdictions adopting IFRSs in the near future.</p> <p>There have been two separate amendments to IFRS 1 considered by the Board:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Additional Exemptions for First-time Adopters; and</li> <li>• Limited Exemption from Comparative IFRS 7 Disclosures for First-time Adopters.</li> </ul>	<p>None. No equivalent IPSAS to IFRS 1.</p>	<p>The amendments to IFRS 1 for “Additional Exemptions for First-time Adopters” were issued in July 2009. The amendments to IFRS 1 for the “Limited Exemption from Comparative IFRS 7 Disclosures” was issued in January 2010.</p>	<p>IFRS 1 was originally issued in June 2003. Whether or not the IPSASB requires an equivalent standard needs to be discussed.</p>
<b>Amendment to IFRIC 14, “Prepayments of a Minimum Funding Requirement”</b>			
<p>A limited scope project to correct an unintended consequence of IFRIC 14.</p>	<p>Possible implications for IPSAS 25, “Employee Benefits” issued in February 2008.</p>	<p>The amendment to IFRIC 14 was issued in November 2009.</p>	<p>IFRIC 14 was originally issued in July 2007. Whether or not the IPSASB should amend IPSAS 25 for this Interpretation needs to be discussed.</p>
<b>Related Party Disclosures</b>			
<p>Limited scope project to deal with specific issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Providing an exemption from disclosure requirements for transactions between entities controlled, jointly controlled or significantly influenced by the same state (“state-controlled</li> </ul>	<p>IPSAS 20, “Related Party Disclosures” is drawn primarily from the reformatted 1994 version of IAS 24 and does not reflect 2003 revisions. It differs substantially from IAS 24 and arguably presaged the line of travel of the current IASB project. Therefore the implications may be</p>	<p>In November 2009, following the publication of EDs in February 2007 and December 2008, the IASB published amendments to IAS 24 that provide:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A partial exemption for government-related entities</li> </ul>	

Summary of Project Objective	Potential Impact on IPSASs	Current Progress and Likely Time Frame for Completion	Other Comments
<p>entities”); and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Amending the definitions of a related party and of a related party transaction to clarify the intended meaning and remove some inconsistencies.</li> </ul>	relatively minor.	<p>from the requirements of IAS 24; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A revised definition of a related party.</li> </ul>	
<b>Annual Improvements (AIP)—2009</b>			
Process to deal with minor amendments of both a substantive and editorial nature. Ongoing on an annual cycle.		Relevant April 2009 IASB Improvements have been included in ED 44, “Improvements to IPSASs” issued in April 2010 with a comment period to June 30, 2010.	An analysis of comments received will be discussed at the November 2010 IPSASB meeting.
<b>Annual Improvements (AIP)—2008</b>			
Process to deal with minor amendments of both a substantive and editorial nature. Ongoing on an annual cycle.	Relevant “Improvements to IFRSs” issued in May 2008 by the IASB were reflected in “Improvements to IPSASs” issued in January 2010.		
<b>Credit Risk in Liability Measurement</b>			
<p>The IASB is examining the area of credit risk in liability measurement as part of its comprehensive review of accounting issues emerging from the global financial crisis.</p> <p>The issue is relevant to a number of the IASB’s projects, in particular, in the accounting for financial instruments, insurance, fair value measurement and provisions, contingent liabilities</p>	Important background for “Measurement” in the Conceptual Framework project. Potential impact on IPSASs 28–30 and IPSAS 19, “Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets” as well as other IPSASs dealing with liabilities.	A DP was issued in June 2009 with a consultation period until September 2009. This project has now been incorporated into the Measurement phase of the IASB’s Conceptual Framework project.	



Summary of Project Objective	Potential Impact on IPSASs	Current Progress and Likely Time Frame for Completion	Other Comments
and contingent assets.			
Financial Instruments Amendments			
IFRIC 19, “Extinguishing Financial Liabilities with Equity”	The amendments have a potential impact on IPSAS 29.	IFRIC 19 was issued in November 2009.	At the April 2010 meeting, the Board agreed to defer proposing amendments to IPSASs 28–30 until after the IASB completes its project on IFRS 9, which is expected to be completed in H1, 2011.
IFRS 9, “Financial Instruments”	This Standard has a potential impact on IPSAS 29.	IFRS 9 was issued in November 2009.	
Classification of Rights Issues (Amendment to IAS 32)	The amendments have a potential impact on IPSAS 28.	The amendment to IAS 32 was issued in October 2009.	
Embedded Derivatives (Amendments to IFRIC 9 and IAS 39) The amendments apply to embedded derivatives within the scope of IFRIC 9 and IAS 39. The amendments clarify that on reclassification of a financial asset out of the “at fair value through profit or loss” category all embedded derivatives have to be assessed and, if necessary, separately accounted for in the financial statements.	The amendments have a potential impact on IPSAS 29 (IPSAS 29 includes IFRIC 9 as an Appendix).	The amendments were issued in March 2009.	
Improving Disclosures about Financial Instruments (IFRS 7 Amendments)	These amendments have been reflected in IPSAS 30, “Financial Instruments: Disclosures.”	The amendments were issued in March 2009.	
IFRS for SMEs			
The objective of this project is to develop an IFRS expressly designed to meet the financial reporting needs of entities that (a)	None at present. The IPSASB has no equivalent of IFRS for SMEs.	The IFRS was issued in July 2009.	

<b>Summary of Project Objective</b>	<b>Potential Impact on IPSASs</b>	<b>Current Progress and Likely Time Frame for Completion</b>	<b>Other Comments</b>
do not have public accountability and (b) publish general purpose financial statements for external users. Examples of such external users include owners who are not involved in managing the business, existing and potential creditors, and credit rating agencies. The IFRS for SMEs will be derived from full IFRSs with appropriate modifications based on the needs of users of SME financial statements and cost-benefit considerations.			